Research Article



# Seidel energy of a graph with self-loops

A Harshitha $^{1,\dagger},$  Sabitha D'Souza $^{1,\ast},$  Swati Nayak $^{1,\ddagger},$  Ivan Gutman $^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India, 576104 †harshuarao@gmail.com <sup>∗</sup>sabitha.dsouza@manipal.edu ‡swati.nayak@manipal.edu

2 Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia gutman@kg.ac.rs

> Received: 19 March 2024; Accepted: 4 September 2024 Published Online: 13 September 2024

Abstract: Let  $G<sub>S</sub>$  be a graph obtained by attaching a self-loop to each vertex of  $S \subseteq V$  of a graph  $G(V, E)$ . The Seidel matrix of  $G_S$  is  $S(G_S) = [s_{ij}]$ , where  $s_{ij} = -1$ if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent and  $v_i \in S$ ,  $s_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are non-adjacent, and it is zero if  $i = j$  and  $v_i \notin S$ . If  $\theta_i(G_S)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , are the eigenvalues of the Seidel matrix, then the Seidel energy of the graph  $G_S$ , containing n vertices and  $\sigma$  self-loops, is defined as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|$ . In this paper, some basic properties of Seidel energy of graphs containing self-loops are established.

Keywords: seidel energy (of graph), seidel matrix; energy (of graph), graph with self-loops

AMS Subject classification: 05C50, 05C92

## 1. Introduction

The concept of graph energy was introduced in the 1970s and since then became a popular subject of mathematical investigation, resulting in over one thousand of published papers [\[9\]](#page-9-0). Until quite recently, only graphs without self-loops were considered. The first paper on the energy of graphs with self-loops appeared in 2022 [\[7\]](#page-9-1), and was followed by a few other articles on the same theme  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$  $[3, 8, 13, 14]$ . Although the Seidel energy was studied in detail in dozens of publications, see e.g. [\[1,](#page-8-0) [2,](#page-8-1) [6,](#page-9-6) [11,](#page-9-7) [12\]](#page-9-8), until

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding Author

c 2024 Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University

now the Seidel energy of graphs with self-loops was not considered. The present paper is aimed at filling this gap.

Let  $G(V, E)$  be a simple graph of order n and size m. Let S be a subset of V of order  $\sigma$ . The graph  $G_S$  is obtained by attaching a self-loop at each vertex of S. The complement of the graph G, denoted by  $\overline{G}$  is the graph with same vertex set as that of  $G$ , such that two vertices are adjacent if and only they are non-adjacent in  $G$ .

The adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  of G on n vertices is a square matrix of order n with elements 1, if the corresponding vertices are adjacent and 0, if the corresponding vertices are non-adjacent. The Seidel matrix  $S(G)$  of G of order n is a square matrix of order *n* with elements  $s_{ij} = -1$  if  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_j$ ,  $s_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_i$  is non-adjacent to  $v_j$ , and  $s_{ij} = 0$  if  $i = j$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** [\[7\]](#page-9-1) The adjacency matrix  $A(G_S)$  of a graph  $G_S$  of order n with self-loops is an  $n \times n$  square matrix with elements,

$$
(a_{ij})_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent,} \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are non-adjacent,} \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } v_i \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } v_i \notin S. \end{cases}
$$

Let  $\lambda_i(G_S)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be the eigenvalues of  $A(G_S)$ . Then the energy of  $G_S$  is defined as

$$
E(G_S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \lambda_i(G_S) - \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|
$$

where  $\sigma$  is the number of self-loops. If  $\sigma = 0$ , then the above energy reduces to the ordinary graph energy [\[9\]](#page-9-0), i.e., to the sum of absolute values of the eigenvalues.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Definition 2.** The Seidel matrix  $S(G_S)$  of a graph  $G_S$  of order n with self-loops is an  $n \times n$  square matrix with elements,

$$
(s_{ij})_S = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent,} \\ 1 & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are non-adjacent,} \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } v_i \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } v_i \notin S. \end{cases}
$$

Let  $\theta_i(G_S)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , be the be the eigenvalues of  $S(G_S)$ , pertaining to a graph  $G_S$  with  $|S| = \sigma$  self-loops. Then directly from Definition [2,](#page-1-0) it follows

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i(G_S) = -\sigma.
$$

Bearing this in mind, the Seidel energy of  $G_S$  is defined as

$$
SE(G_S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|.
$$

If  $\sigma = 0$ , then the above energy reduces to the ordinary Seidel energy, i.e., to the sum of absolute values of the Seidel eigenvalues.

Note that for a graph  $G_S$  of order n,

$$
S(G_S) = A(\overline{G}) - A(G_S) = A(\overline{G}) - A(G) + J_S = S(G) + J_S.
$$

Here,  $J<sub>S</sub>$  is the square matrix of order n, whose off-diagonal elements are zero, diagonal elements are −1 if the corresponding vertex has a self-loop and it is 0 if the corresponding vertex has no self-loop.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Theorem 1.** [\[4\]](#page-9-9) Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  and  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  be real numbers. If there exist real constants  $x, y, X$  and Y such that for each  $i, i = 1, 2, ..., n, x \leq x_i \leq X$  and  $y \leq y_i \leq Y$ , then

$$
\left| n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \right| \leq \alpha(n) (X - x)(Y - y)
$$

where  $\alpha(n) = n \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)$ . Equality holds if and only if  $x_i = x_j$  and  $y_i = y_j$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 2.** [\[5\]](#page-9-10) Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  and  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  be real numbers. If there exist real constants r and R such that for each i,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ ,  $r x_i \leq y_i \leq R x_i$ , then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 + rR \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \le (r + R) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i.
$$

Equality holds if  $r x_i = y_i = R x_i$  for at least one i.

#### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(K_n)$ s be a complete graph with  $\sigma$  self-loops. Then,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
SE((K_n)_S) = \frac{n^2 - n - 2\sigma}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1)^2 + 4\sigma}.
$$
\n(3.1)

*Proof.* By row and column operation,  $\det(\lambda I - S((K_n)_S))$  is reduced to

$$
(-\lambda)^{\sigma-1}(1-\lambda)^{n-\sigma-1}\begin{vmatrix}-\lambda & -1\\ -\sigma & 1-\lambda-n\end{vmatrix}
$$

and thus the Seidel spectrum of  $(K_n)_{S}$  is

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 1 & \frac{(1-n)+\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4\sigma}}{2} & \frac{(1-n)-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4\sigma}}{2} \\
\sigma-1 & n-\sigma-1 & 1 & 1\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

whereas the Seidel energy is

$$
SE((K_n)_S) = (\sigma - 1)\left(\frac{\sigma}{n}\right) + (n - \sigma - 1)\left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1 - n + \sqrt{(n - 1)^2 + 4\sigma}}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{n} + \frac{n - 1 + \sqrt{(n - 1)^2 + 4\sigma}}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{n}
$$

and Eq.  $(3.1)$  follows.

Note that the Seidel energy of the complete graph  $(K_n)_S$  with  $\sigma$  self-loops is equal to the Seidel energy of the ordinary complete graph if and only if either  $\sigma = 0$  or  $\sigma = n$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(K_{a,b})_S$ ,  $a \leq b$  be a complete bipartite graph with  $\sigma$  self-loops and  $a + b = n$  vertices,  $a, b \ge 1$ . Then, independently of the actual values of a and b, and independently of the distribution of self-loops,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
SE((K_{a,b})_S) = \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2\sigma}{n} + \sqrt{(n-3)^2 - 4(\sigma + 2 - 2n)}.
$$
 (3.2)

*Proof.* Let  $V_1, V_2$  be the partition of the vertex set of  $(K_{a,b})_S$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  be the number vertices having a self-loop in  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively. Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ .

The Seidel matrix of  $(K_{a,b})_S$  is then a block matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A_{a\times a} & C_{a\times b} \\ C^T & D \end{bmatrix}$  $C_{b \times a}^{T}$   $B_{b \times b}$ , where,  $A$  and  $B$  are square matrices with off-diagonal entries 1 and diagonal entries 1 if the corresponding vertex has a self-loop and 0 if the corresponding vertex does not have a self-loop. C is a matrix with all entries are equal to −1.

By row and column operations, det  $(\lambda I - S(K_{a,b})_S)$  is reduced to

$$
(-\lambda - 1)^{n-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-2}(-\lambda - 2)^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-2}\begin{vmatrix} -2-\lambda & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \ 0 & -1-\lambda & -3-2\lambda & -3-2\lambda \ 0 & 0 & -2-\lambda & -1 & -1 \ -\sigma_1 & -a & \sigma_2-a & b-a-1-\lambda \end{vmatrix}.
$$

Expanding the above determinant we get

$$
(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)\left[\lambda^2 + (3 - a - b)\lambda + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - 2a - 2b + 2\right]
$$

$$
= (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)\left[\lambda^2 + (3 - n)\lambda + \sigma - 2n + 2\right]
$$

from which it follows that the remaining four Seidel eigenvalues are

$$
-1, -2, \frac{1}{2} \left[ (n-3) \pm \sqrt{(n-3)^2 - 4(\sigma + 2 - 2n)} \right].
$$

As a somewhat unexpected finding, the entire Seidel spectrum of  $(K_{a,b})_S$  depends only on the sum of a and b, and only on the sum of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , i.e., on n and  $\sigma$ , respectively. Therefore, the Seidel spectrum of the complete bipartite graph  $(K_{a,b})_S$ with  $\sigma$  self-loops is,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n-1 & -2 & \frac{(n-3)+\sqrt{(n-3)^2-4(\sigma+2-2n)}}{2} & \frac{(n-3)-\sqrt{(n-3)^2-4(\sigma+2-2n)}}{2} \\
n-\sigma-1 & \sigma-1 & 1 & 1\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Its Seidel energy is then

$$
SE((K_{p,q})_S) = (\sigma - 1) \left(\frac{2n - \sigma}{n}\right) + (n - \sigma - 1) \left(\frac{n - \sigma}{n}\right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[n - 3 + \sqrt{(n - 3)^2 - 4(\sigma + 2 - 2n)}\right] + \frac{\sigma}{n}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[3 - n + \sqrt{(n - 3)^2 - 4(\sigma + 2 - 2n)}\right] - \frac{\sigma}{n}
$$

and Eq.  $(3.2)$  follows.

Note that the Seidel energy of the complete bipartite graph  $(K_{a,b})_S$  with  $\sigma$  self-loops is equal to the Seidel energy of the ordinary complete graph if and only if either  $\sigma = 0$ or  $\sigma = n$ , where  $n = a + b$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $G_S$  be a graph containing  $|S| = \sigma$  self-loops. Then  $SE(G_S) = SE(G)$ , if  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\sigma = n$ .

*Proof.* If  $\sigma = 0$ , then  $G_S \cong G$  and therefore,  $SE(G_S) = SE(G)$ . If  $\sigma = n$ , then  $\theta_i(G_S) = \theta_i(G) - 1$  since  $S(G_S) = S(G) - I_n$ . Therefore,

$$
SE(G_S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G) - 1 + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\theta_i(G)| = SE(G).
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Lemma 2.** Let  $\theta_i(G_S)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , be the Seidel eigenvalues of the graph  $G_S$  with  $|S| = \sigma$ . Then,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i^2(G_S) = n(n-1) + \sigma.
$$

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* Let  $G_S$  be a graph obtained from G by adding  $|S| = \sigma$  self-loops. Let  $m, \overline{m}$ be the number of edges of G and  $\overline{G}$  respectively. Consider,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i^2(G_S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (S(G) + J_S)_{ii}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G}) - A(G) + J_S)_{ii}^2
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G}))_{ii}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G})A(G))_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G})J_S)_{ii}
$$
  

$$
- \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(G)A(\overline{G}))_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((A(G))^2)_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(G)J_S)_{ii}
$$
  

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_S A(\overline{G}))_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_S A(G))_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_S)_{ii}^2
$$

and note that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G}))_{ii}^{2} = 2\overline{m} , \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(G))_{ii}^{2} = 2m , \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_{S})_{ii}^{2} = \sigma
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G})A(G))_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(G)A(\overline{G}))_{ii} = 0
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(\overline{G})J_{S})_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_{S}A(\overline{G}))_{ii} = 0
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A(G)J_{S})_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (J_{S}A(G))_{ii} = 0.
$$

Lemma [2](#page-4-0) follows now by recalling that  $m + \overline{m} = \binom{n}{2}$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Lemma 3.** Let  $\theta_i(G_S)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , be the Seidel eigenvalues of the graph  $G_S$  with  $|S| = \sigma$ . Then,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^2 = n(n-1) + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.
$$

Proof.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \theta_i^2(G_S) + 2\theta_i(G_S) \frac{\sigma}{n} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} \right) = 2\overline{m} + 2m + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Theorem 5.** For the graph  $G_S$ , obtained by attaching  $\sigma$  self-loops to the vertices of G on n vertices,

$$
SE(G_S) \le n \sqrt{n-1 + \frac{\sigma}{n} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{n}\right)^2}.
$$

 $\hfill \square$ 

*Proof.* The quantity  $\sum_{n=1}^{n}$  $i=1$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $j=1$  $\left( \left. \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| - \left| \theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \right)$  $\big)^2$  is non-negative. Therefore,

$$
n\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^2 + n\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \ge 0.
$$

By Lemma [3](#page-5-0) and from the definition of Seidel energy of  $G_S$ , it follows that

$$
2 SE(G_S)^2 \le 2n \left( n(n-1) + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)
$$

which implies Theorem [5.](#page-5-1)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Theorem 6.** Let  $G_S$  be a graph obtained by attaching  $\sigma$  self-loops to the vertices of G on n vertices. Then,

$$
SE(G_S) \ge \sqrt{n\left(n(n-1) + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) + n(n-1)D^{2/n}}
$$

where  $D = |\det (S(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}I_n)|$ .

Proof. By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$
\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \left| \theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \ge \left( \prod_{i \neq j} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \left| \theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}
$$

$$
= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^{2(n-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}
$$

$$
= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \right)^{2/n}
$$

$$
= \left| \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right) \right|^{2/n}
$$

$$
= \left| \det \left( S(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} I_n \right) \right|^{2/n} = D^{2/n}.
$$

Therefore,

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{i \neq j} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \left| \theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \ge n(n-1)D^{2/n}.
$$
 (3.3)

Now consider,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
SE(G_S)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left|\theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right|\right)^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \left|\theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \left|\theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right| \left|\theta_j(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right|.
$$
 (3.4)

Theorem [6](#page-6-0) follows from Lemma [3](#page-5-0) by substituting Eq. [\(3.3\)](#page-6-1) into Eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-7-0).  $\Box$ 

It should be noted that Theorems [5](#page-5-1) and [6](#page-6-0) are obtained by reasonings analogous to those used in the theory of ordinary graph energy [\[9,](#page-9-0) [10\]](#page-9-11).

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Theorem 7.** Let  $\theta_1(G_S), \theta_2(G_S), \ldots, \theta_n(G_S)$  be the Seidel eigenvalues of the graph  $G_S$ containing  $\sigma$  self-loops. Then,

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
SE(G_S) \ge n\sqrt{n-1+\frac{\sigma}{n}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{n}\right)^2-\frac{1}{4}\left(\left|\theta_1(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|-\left|\theta_n(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|\right)^2}.\tag{3.5}
$$

Proof. Let  $|\theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}| \geq |\theta_2(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}| \geq \cdots \geq |\theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|$ . By substituting  $x_i = y_i = |\theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|, x = y = |\theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|, \text{ and } X = Y = |\theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}| \text{ in }$ Theorem [1](#page-2-1) and noting that  $\alpha(n) \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$  $\frac{i^2}{4}$ , we get

$$
\left|n\sum_{i=1}^n\left|\theta_i(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|^2-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n\left|\theta_i(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|\right)^2\right|\leq \frac{n^2}{4}\left(\left|\theta_1(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|-\left|\theta_n(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|\right)^2.
$$

Noting that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| = SE(G_S)
$$

and

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^2 = 2\overline{m} + 2m + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = n(n-1) + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
$$
 (3.6)

we obtain

$$
n\left(n(n-1)+\sigma-\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)-(SE(G_S))^2\leq \frac{n^2}{4}\left(\left|\theta_1(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|-\left|\theta_n(G_S)+\frac{\sigma}{n}\right|\right)^2.
$$

This implies the inequality [\(3.5\)](#page-7-1).

<span id="page-7-4"></span>Theorem 8. Using the same notation as in Theorem [7,](#page-7-2)

$$
SE(G_S) \ge \frac{n(n-1) + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \left|\theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right| \left|\theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right|}{\left|\theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right| + \left|\theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}\right|}.
$$



Proof. Let, as before,  $|\theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}| \geq |\theta_2(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}| \geq \cdots \geq |\theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|$ . By substituting  $x_i = 1, y_i = |\theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|, r = |\theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|,$  and  $R = |\theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n}|$  in Theorem [2,](#page-2-2) we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|^2 + \left| \theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \left| \theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1^2
$$
  

$$
\leq \left( \left| \theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| + \left| \theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|.
$$

Taking into account

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \theta_i(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| = SE(G_S)
$$

and Eq.  $(3.6)$ , we get

$$
n(n-1) + \sigma - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + n \left| \theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \left| \theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right|
$$
  

$$
\leq \left( \left| \theta_1(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| + \left| \theta_n(G_S) + \frac{\sigma}{n} \right| \right) SE(G_S)
$$

from which the inequality in Theorem [8](#page-7-4) straightforwardly follows.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this article we have obtained some basic results on the Seidel energy of graphs with self-loops, together with a few lower and upper bounds. The Seidel energy of complete and complete bipartite graphs with self-loops are also calculated.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[1] S. Akbari, J. Askari, and K.C. Das, Some properties of eigenvalues of the seidel matrix, Linear Multilinear Algebra  $70$  (2022), no. 11, 2150–2161. https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1790481.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[2] S. Akbari, M. Einollahzadeh, M.M. Karkhaneei, and M.A. Nematollahi, Proof of a conjecture on the seidel energy of graphs, European J. Combin. 86 (2020), Article ID: 103078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2019.103078.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>[3] D.V. Anchan, S. D'Souza, H.J. Gowtham, and P.G. Bhat, Laplacian energy of a graph with self-loops, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 90 (2023), no. 1, 247–258.

https://doi.org/10.46793/match.90-1.247V.

- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[4] M. Biernacki, H. Pidek, and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, Sur une inégalité entre des intégrales définies, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska 4 (1950), 1–4.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[5] J.B. Díaz and F.T. Metcalf, *Stronger forms of a class of inequalities of G. Pólya-*G. Szegö, and L.V. Kantorovich, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 415–418.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[6] M. Einollahzadeh and M.A. Nematollahi, An improved lower bound for the seidel energy of trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 320 (2022), 381–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2022.06.012.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[7] I. Gutman, I. Redžepović, B. Furtula, and A. Sahal, *Energy of graphs with self*loops, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 87 (2022), 645–652.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[8] I. Jovanović, E. Zogić, and E. Glogić, On the conjecture related to the energy of graphs with self-loops, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 89 (2023), no. 2, 479–488.

https://doi.org/10.46793/match.89-2.479J.

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[9] X. Li, Y. Shi, and I. Gutman, Graph Energy, Springer, New York, 2012.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[10] B.J. McClelland, Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: The estimation of  $\pi$ -electron energies, J. Chem. Phys. **54** (1971), no. 2, 640–643. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1674889.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[11] M.R. Oboudi, *Energy and seidel energy of graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 75 (2016), 291–303.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[12] M.R. Oboudi and M.A. Nematollah, Improving a lower bound for seidel energy of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 89 (2023), no. 2, 489–502. https://doi.org/10.46793/match.89-2.489O.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[13] K.M. Popat and K.R. Shingala, Some new results on energy of graphs with self loops, J. Math. Chem. 61 (2023), no. 6, 1462–1469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-023-01467-7.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[14] U.P. Preetha, M. Suresh, and E. Bonyah, On the spectrum, energy and laplacian energy of graphs with self-loops, Heliyon  $9$  (2023), no. 7,  $\#e17001$ . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17001.