

Polycyclic codes over R

Gowdhaman Karthick

Presidency University, Bangalore, Karnataka-560064, India
karthygowtham@gmail.com

Received: 28 July 2023; Accepted: 16 November 2023
Published Online: 28 November 2023

Abstract: In this paper, we discuss the structure of polycyclic codes over the ring $R = \mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q; u^2 = \alpha u, v^2 = v$ and $uv = vu = 0$, where α is an unit element in R . We introduce annihilator self-dual codes, annihilator self-orthogonal codes and annihilator LCD codes over R . Using a Gray map, we define a one to one correspondence between R and \mathbb{F}_q and construct quasi polycyclic codes over the \mathbb{F}_q .

Keywords: semi-simple ring, polycyclic codes, hamming distances, gray maps, annihilator dual codes.

AMS Subject classification: 05C50, 05C09, 05C92

1. Introduction

An interesting subtype of linear codes are polycyclic codes of length n over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements which are described by ideals of a polynomial rings $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$. In 2009, López-Permouth et al. [3] studied polycyclic codes and sequential codes, and showed that a linear code is polycyclic if and only if its Euclidean dual code is sequential which is not always polycyclic. In 2016, Alahmadi et al. [1] introduced the annihilator dual codes over \mathbb{F}_q and showed that the annihilator dual codes of polycyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q are also polycyclic. In 2022, Wei Qi study the polycyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q$ with $u^2 = u$ and have constructed the annihilator self-dual codes, annihilator self-orthogonal codes and annihilator LCD codes. This motivated us to do the following works.

In this paper, we study Polycyclic codes and Sequential codes over the ring $R = \mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q; u^2 = \alpha u, v^2 = v$ and $uv = vu = 0$. We have introduced annihilator self-dual codes, annihilator self-orthogonal codes and annihilator LCD codes over R . Using a Gray map, we have defined a one to one correspondance between $\{1, R$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^3\}$ and a few codes are constructed.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order q with characteristic p , then we define a ring $R = \mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q$ with $u^2 = \alpha u, v^2 = v, uv = vu = 0$ where α is an unit element in R . The ring R is a semi-local and Frobenious ring. A linear code C is a R -module. C^\perp is the Euclidean dual of C . Let $e_1 = \frac{u}{\alpha}, e_2 = v$ and $e_3 = (1 - \frac{u}{\alpha} - v)$. Then, we have $e_i^2 = e_i, e_i e_j = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i = 1$ where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \neq j$. By using decomposition theorem of rings, we have $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i \mathbb{F}_q$. Therefore, any element in R can be uniquely expressed as $r = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i r_i$ where $r_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Let C be a linear code of length n over R and $C_i = \{r_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i r_i \in C\}$ for some $r_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ where $j \neq i$. Then C_i is a linear code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Hence, C can be expressed as $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$.

Definition 1. Let C be a linear code over R and let $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in R^n$ with the condition that a_0 as a unit element of R

- then C is a *a-polycyclic code* if it satisfies the right polycyclic shift operator given by

$$\sigma_a(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-2}) + c_{n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

- then C is a *a-sequential code* if it satisfies the right sequential shift operator given by

$$\tau_a(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_0 a_0 + c_1 a_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} a_{n-1}).$$

Hereafter, we denote $R[x]/\langle x^n - a(x) \rangle$ as R^a . Then the map $\phi : R^n \rightarrow R^a$ defined by

$$(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}) \mapsto c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1},$$

is a module isomorphism and we have the following result.

Theorem 1. Let C be a polycyclic code over the ring R , then the corresponding image sets ϕ is an $R[x]$ -module over R^a .

Definition 2 ([4]). Let C be a polycyclic code of length n .

1. Let $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in R^a$, then the annihilator product of $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ is defined as

$$\langle \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle_a = r(0)$$

where $\alpha(x)\beta(x) \equiv r(x) \pmod{x^n - a(x)}$ and $\deg(r(x)) \leq n - 1$.

2. The annihilator dual code C' of an a-polycyclic code C is defined to be

$$C' = \{\beta(x) \in R^a \mid \langle \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle_a = r(0) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha(x) \in C\}.$$

3. The a -polycyclic code C is said to be an *annihilator self-orthogonal code* (resp., *annihilator self-dual code*, *annihilator LCD code*) provided that $C \subseteq C'$ (resp., $C = C'$, $C \cap C' = \{0\}$).

4. The annihilator of C is

$$\text{Ann}(C) = \{\beta(x) \in R_a \mid \alpha(x)\beta(x) = 0 \in R^a \text{ for all } \alpha(x) \in C\}.$$

Theorem 2. *[[4]] Let C be an a -polycyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Let $g(x)$ be the generator polynomial and $h(x)$ the check polynomial of C , then $C' = \langle h(x) \rangle$.*

Lemma 1 ([1]). *Let $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_0 \neq 0$, C be an a -polycyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , then $\alpha(x)\beta(x)$ is non-degenerate, and thus $C' = \text{Ann}(C)$.*

Lemma 2 ([1]). *Let C_1 and C_2 be a -polycyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q , g_1, g_2 their generator polynomials, respectively, then $C_1 \subseteq C_2$ if and only if $g_2|g_1$.*

Lemma 3 ([1]). *Let C be an a -polycyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q , then C is an annihilator self-orthogonal code if and only if $h(x)|g(x)$ where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are the generator polynomial and check polynomial of C , respectively.*

Lemma 4 ([1]). *Let C be an a -polycyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q , then C is an annihilator LCD code if and only if $\text{gcd}(g(x), h(x)) = 1$ where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are the generator polynomial and check polynomial of C , respectively.*

3. Codes over the ring R

A unique representation of an element in R is defined as $r = r_1e_1 + r_2e_2 + r_3e_3$. Each coordinate a_j in $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ can be written as $a_j = a_j^1e_1 + a_j^2e_2 + a_j^3e_3$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ in a unique way and c_j in $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C$ as $c_j = c_j^1e_1 + c_j^2e_2 + c_j^3e_3$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. On applying the polycyclic operator,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a(c) &= (0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-2}) + c_{n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= (0, c_1^1e_1 + c_1^2e_2 + c_1^3e_3, c_2^1e_1 + c_2^2e_2 + c_2^3e_3, \dots, c_{n-2}^1e_1 + c_{n-2}^2e_2 + c_{n-2}^3e_3) \\ &\quad + (c_{n-1}^1e_1 + c_{n-1}^2e_2 + c_{n-1}^3e_3)(a_0^1e_1 + a_0^2e_2 + a_0^3e_3, a_1^1e_1 + a_1^2e_2 + a_1^3e_3, \dots, \\ &\quad a_{n-1}^1e_1 + a_{n-1}^2e_2 + a_{n-1}^3e_3) \\ &= (0, c_1^1e_1, e_1c_2^1, \dots, e_1c_{n-2}^1) + e_1c_{n-1}^1(a_0^1e_1, a_1^1e_1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1e_1) \\ &\quad + (0, c_1^2e_2, e_2c_2^2, \dots, e_2c_{n-2}^2) + e_2c_{n-1}^2(a_0^2e_2, a_1^2e_2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2e_2) \\ &\quad + (0, c_1^3e_3, e_3c_2^3, \dots, e_3c_{n-2}^3) + e_3c_{n-1}^3(a_0^3e_3, a_1^3e_3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3e_3) \\ &= e_1((0, c_1^1, c_2^1, \dots, c_{n-2}^1) + c_{n-1}^1(a_0^1, a_1^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1)) \\ &\quad + e_2((0, c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_{n-2}^2) + c_{n-1}^2(a_0^2, a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2)) \\ &\quad + e_3((0, c_1^3, c_2^3, \dots, c_{n-2}^3) + c_{n-1}^3(a_0^3, a_1^3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3)) \\ &= e_1(\sigma_{a_1}(c^1)) + e_2(\sigma_{a_2}(c^2)) + e_3(\sigma_{a_3}(c^3)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sigma_{a_1}(c^1) \in C_1, \sigma_{a_2}(c^2) \in C_2$ and $\sigma_{a_3}(c^3) \in C_3$ and vice versa. Thus, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let C be a linear code over R of length n , then C is an a -polycyclic code of length n if and only if every C_i is an a_i -polycyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q ($1 \leq i \leq 3$).*

Theorem 4. *Let C be a linear code of length n over R , then C is a -sequential over R if and only if every C_i is a_i -sequential over \mathbb{F}_q .*

Proof. Proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. □

Lemma 5. *Let C be an a -polycyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q , then C is a principal ideal $\langle g(x) \rangle$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - a(x) \rangle$ generated by some monic polynomial and a divisor of $x^n - a(x)$. In this case, $g(x)$ is said to be a generator polynomial of C .*

Theorem 5. *Let $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$ be a a -polycyclic code of length n over R , then $C = \langle g(x) = e_1 g_1(x) + e_2 g_2(x) + e_3 g_3(x) \rangle$ of $R[x]/\langle x^n - a(x) \rangle$ where $g_i(x) = \langle C_i \rangle, g_i(x) | x^n - a_i(x), 1 \leq i \leq 3$ over \mathbb{F}_q .*

Proof. Let $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$ be an a -polycyclic code over R . Let $c(x) \in C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$, then there exists $p_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - a_i(x) \rangle$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i p_i(x) g_i(x) = c(x)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i p_i(x) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i g_i(x) \right) = c(x)$$

Then $c(x) \in \langle g(x) \rangle, \langle g(x) \rangle \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$.

Let $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$ be a a -polycyclic code over R , then by Theorem 3, C_i is a_i -polycyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . So by Lemma 5, we have $g_i(x) = \langle C_i \rangle$ and $g_i(x) | x^n - a_i(x)$. Then there exists $h_i(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^n - a_i(x) \rangle$ such that $g_i(x) h_i(x) = x^n - a_i(x)$. Therefore $e_i g_i(x) h_i(x) = e_i (x^n - a_i(x))$ and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i g_i(x) h_i(x) = x^n - a(x)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i g_i(x) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 e_i h_i(x) \right) = x^n - a(x).$$

Thus, we have $C = \langle \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i g_i(x) h_i(x) \rangle$. □

Theorem 6 ([2]). *If $f(0) \neq 0$, then the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non degenerate.*

Theorem 7. *Let $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in R^a$. Then $\langle \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle$ is a non-degenerate symmetric R -bilinear form.*

Proof. For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^n$, $k \in R$, $\langle k(\alpha + \beta), \gamma \rangle = r(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } [k(\alpha + \beta)\gamma](x) &\equiv r(x) \pmod{x^n - a(x)} \\ k[\alpha(x)\gamma(x)] + k[\beta(x)\gamma(x)] &\equiv r(x) \pmod{x^n - a(x)} \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k\alpha(x), \gamma(x) \rangle &= r_1(0) \text{ where } k[\alpha(x)\gamma(x)] \equiv r_1(x) \pmod{x^n - a(x)}, \\ \langle k\beta(x), \gamma(x) \rangle &= r_1(x) \text{ where } k[\beta(x)\gamma(x)] \equiv r_2(x) \pmod{x^n - a(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

using the property compatibility with addition, we have $r(x) = r_1(x) + r_2(x)$. Thus, $\langle k(\alpha + \beta), \gamma \rangle = k\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle + k\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle$ is bilinear. Since the ring R is commutative, we have $\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle = \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle$. To show $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate, it is enough to show that the Radicals of R is $\{0\}$. Suppose not, that is, there exists $\beta \neq 0 \in R(R^n)$ such that $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in R^n$, it can be uniquely represented by $\alpha = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2 + e_3\alpha_3$, $\beta = e_1\beta_1 + e_2\beta_2 + e_3\beta_3$. Therefore, by using the bilinear property, one can write $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ as

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \langle \alpha_i, \beta_i \rangle = 0,$$

which contradicts 6. Thus, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$ is a non-degenerate symmetric R -bilinear form. \square

Theorem 8. Let C be an a -polycyclic code over S and let $\epsilon_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \epsilon_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0), \dots, \epsilon_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ and $A = ((\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j)_a)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Let $CA = \{cA \mid c \in C\}$. Then $C' = (CA)^\perp$. Consequently, $(C')' = C$.

Proof. Note that $\langle u, v \rangle_a = uAv^t = \langle u, Av \rangle_a$. Thus $C' = (CA)^\perp$. Using the equality, $C' = (CA)^\perp$. Since A is invertible, it follows that $(C')' = (C'A)^\perp = (C')^\perp A^{-1} = ((CA)^\perp)^\perp A^{-1} = C$. \square

Theorem 9. Let C be a polycyclic code of length n . Then $C' = e_1C'_1 \oplus e_2C'_2 \oplus e_3C'_3$.

Proof. Since every element in $d \in R$ can be represented as $d = e_1d_1 + e_2d_2 + e_3d_3$, it can be written as a matrix A uniquely as $A = e_1A_{e_1} + e_2A_{e_2} + e_3A_{e_3}$ where every A_{e_i} is a matrix over \mathbb{F}_q . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (C') &= (e_1C_1 \oplus e_2C_2 \oplus e_3C_3)^\perp (e_1A_{e_1} + e_2A_{e_2} + e_3A_{e_3})^{-1} \\ &= (e_1C_1A_{e_1} \oplus e_2C_2A_{e_2} \oplus e_3C_3A_{e_3}) \\ &= e_1C'_1 \oplus e_2C'_2 \oplus e_3C'_3 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $C' = e_1C'_1 \oplus e_2C'_2 \oplus e_3C'_3$. \square

Theorem 10. *Let C be a linear code over R . Then C is a -polycyclic if and only if C' is a -polycyclic.*

Proof. Since C is a polycyclic code over R , by Theorem 3, every C_i is a polycyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q . Then, by [[2], Proposition 3], we have C'_i as polycyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q and again by Theorem 3 it is obvious that C' is a polycyclic codes. \square

Theorem 11. *Let C be a linear code of length n over R . Then C is an a -polycyclic code over R if and only if C^\perp is an a -sequential code over R .*

Proof. By Theorem 3 if C is an a -polycyclic codes then every C_i is a a_i -polycyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q . By Theorem[3.2] in [3], every C_i is a a_i -polycyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if every C_i^\perp is a a_i - sequential code over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus by from Theorem4 C^\perp is an a -sequential code. \square

Theorem 12. *Let C be an a -polycyclic code over R generated by $g(x)$. Suppose $h(x)$ is a check polynomial of C . Then C' is an a -polycyclic code generated by $h(x)$.*

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorems 10 and 5. \square

4. Gray map

In this section, we define a Gray map from R to \mathbb{F}_q^3 . We have shown that Gray map enjoy certain properties. Let $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 \in R$, then we define $\phi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^3$ by

$$\phi(x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

It can be easily extended to any length n . Define $\Phi : R^n \mapsto \mathbb{F}_q^{3n}$ by

$$\text{by } \Phi(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (\phi(c_0), \phi(c_1), \dots, \phi(c_{n-1})).$$

The Gray weight w_G of $c \in R^n$ is defined by $w_G(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_G(c_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_H(\phi(c_i))$, where w_H is the Hamming weight in \mathbb{F}_q , and the distance between two codewords $c, d \in C$ is $d_G(c, d) = w_G(c - d)$. The minimum Gray distance of C is

$$d_G(C) = \min\{w_G(c) \mid 0 \neq c \in C\}.$$

For any two elements $c, d \in R^n$, $d_G(c, d) = w_G(c - d) = w_H(\Phi(c - d)) = w_H(\Phi(c) - \Phi(d)) = d_H(\Phi(c), \Phi(d))$. Hence, Φ is a linear distance preserving map from (R^n, d_G) to (\mathbb{F}_q^{3n}, d_H) .

Theorem 13. Let $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 e_i C_i$ be a linear code with parameter $[n, k, d_G]$, then $\Phi(C)$ is a linear code over \mathbb{F}_q^{3n} with the parameter $[3n, k, d_H]$.

Definition 3. Let C be a linear code and let $a = a^1 e_1 + a^2 e_2 + a^3 e_3 \in R$, then C is called a -quasicyclic code of index 3 over \mathbb{F}_q if it satisfies the shift operator given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^3(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) = & ((0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}) + x_{n-1}(a_0^1, a_1^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1), \\ & (0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-2}) + y_{n-1}(a_0^2, a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2), \\ & (0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-2}) + z_{n-1}(a_0^3, a_1^3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 14. Let C be a linear code over R of length $3n$. Then C is an a -polycyclic code if and only if $\Phi(C)$ is a -quasi cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_q , $(\tau^3(\Phi(c)) = \Phi(\sigma_a(c)))$.

Proof. Let C be an a -polycyclic code of length n , then it satisfies the cyclic shift operator for every $c \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a(c) &= (0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-2}) + c_{n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ &= (0, c_1^1 e_1 + c_1^2 e_2 + c_1^3 e_3, c_2^1 e_1 + c_2^2 e_2 + c_2^3 e_3, \dots, c_{n-2}^1 e_1 + c_{n-2}^2 e_2 + c_{n-2}^3 e_3) \\ &\quad + (c_{n-1}^1 e_1 + c_{n-1}^2 e_2 + c_{n-1}^3 e_3)(a_0^1 e_1 + a_0^2 e_2 + a_0^3 e_3, a_1^1 e_1 + a_1^2 e_2 + a_1^3 e_3, \dots, \\ &\quad a_{n-1}^1 e_1 + a_{n-1}^2 e_2 + a_{n-1}^3 e_3) \\ &= (0, c_1^1 e_1, e_1 c_2^1, \dots, e_1 c_{n-2}^1) + e_1 c_{n-1}^1 (a_0^1 e_1, a_1^1 e_1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1 e_1) \\ &\quad + (0, c_1^2 e_2, e_2 c_2^2, \dots, e_2 c_{n-2}^2) + e_2 c_{n-1}^2 (a_0^2 e_2, a_1^2 e_2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2 e_2) \\ &\quad + (0, c_1^3 e_3, e_3 c_2^3, \dots, e_3 c_{n-2}^3) + e_3 c_{n-1}^3 (a_0^3 e_3, a_1^3 e_3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3 e_3) \\ &= e_1((0, c_1^1, c_2^1, \dots, c_{n-2}^1) + c_{n-1}^1(a_0^1, a_1^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1)) \\ &\quad + e_2((0, c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_{n-2}^2) + c_{n-1}^2(a_0^2, a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2)) \\ &\quad + e_3((0, c_1^3, c_2^3, \dots, c_{n-2}^3) + c_{n-1}^3(a_0^3, a_1^3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3)) \\ \Phi(\sigma_a(c)) &= ((0, c_1^1, c_2^1, \dots, c_{n-2}^1) + c_{n-1}^1(a_0^1, a_1^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1), \\ &\quad (0, c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_{n-2}^2) + c_{n-1}^2(a_0^2, a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2), \\ &\quad (0, c_1^3, c_2^3, \dots, c_{n-2}^3) + c_{n-1}^3(a_0^3, a_1^3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $c' \in \Phi(C)$, then there exists an $c \in C$ such that $\Phi(c) = c'$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(c) &= (c_0^1, c_1^1, \dots, c_{n-1}^1, c_0^2, c_1^2, \dots, c_{n-1}^2, c_0^3, c_1^3, \dots, c_{n-1}^3) \\ \tau^3(\Phi(c)) &= ((0, c_1^1, c_2^1, \dots, c_{n-2}^1) + c_{n-1}^1(a_0^1, a_1^1, \dots, a_{n-1}^1), \\ &\quad (0, c_1^2, c_2^2, \dots, c_{n-2}^2) + c_{n-1}^2(a_0^2, a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2), \\ &\quad (0, c_1^3, c_2^3, \dots, c_{n-2}^3) + c_{n-1}^3(a_0^3, a_1^3, \dots, a_{n-1}^3)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tau^3(\Phi(c)) = \Phi(\sigma_a(c))$.

□

Definition 4. Let C be an a -quasi polycyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q .

1. Let $\alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{a_i}$, then the annihilator product is defined as

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \rangle_{a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_{a_i}(0)$$

where $\alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \equiv r_{a_i}(x) \pmod{x^n - a_i(x)}$ and $\deg(r_{a_i}(x)) \leq n - 1$

2. The annihilator dual code C' of an a -quasi polycyclic code C is defined to be

$$C' = \{(\beta_{a_1}(x), \beta_{a_2}(x), \beta_{a_3}(x)) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^{a_1}, \mathbb{F}_q^{a_2}, \mathbb{F}_q^{a_3}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \rangle_{a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_{a_i}(0) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha_{a_i}(x) \in C_i\}$$

Theorem 15. *Let C be a polycyclic code. If C' is annihilator dual of C , then $\Phi(C')$ is annihilator dual for an a -quasi cyclic code $\Phi(C)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta(x) \in C'$, then for every $\alpha(x) \in C$, $\langle \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle_a = r(0) = 0$. Since $\alpha(x), \beta(x)$ is an element of R^a , $\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \alpha_{a_i}(x)$, $\beta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \beta_{a_i}(x)$.

$$\langle \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \alpha_{a_i}(x), \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \beta_{a_i}(x) \rangle_a = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i \langle \alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \rangle_{a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 e_i r_{a_i}(0) = 0$$

where $\alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \equiv r_{a_i}(x) \pmod{x^n - a_i(x)}$ which shows that $r_{a_i}(0) = 0$ for all i . To show $\Phi(\beta(x)) = (\beta_{a_1}(x), \beta_{a_2}(x), \beta_{a_3}(x)) \in \Phi(C')$, let $\alpha_{a_i}(x) \in C_i$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \alpha_{a_i}(x), \beta_{a_i}(x) \rangle_{a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_{a_i}(0) = 0.$$

Thus, $\Phi(\beta(x)) = (\beta_{a_1}(x), \beta_{a_2}(x), \beta_{a_3}(x)) \in \Phi(C')$. □

Theorem 16. *Let C be an a -polycyclic code over R , then*

- C is annihilator self-orthogonal if and only if both C_{a_1}, C_{a_2} and C_{a_3} are annihilator self-orthogonal over \mathbb{F}_q .
- C is annihilator self-dual if and only if both C_{a_1}, C_{a_2} and C_{a_3} are annihilator self-dual over \mathbb{F}_q .
- C is annihilator LCD if and only if C_{a_1}, C_{a_2} and C_{a_3} are annihilator LCD over \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof. The proof of this similar to that of Theorem 15. □

Example 1. Let $a(x) = 4x^3 + 1$, then $R^a = \frac{\mathbb{F}_5[x]}{\langle x^6 - a(x) \rangle}$. Let $C = \langle g_{a_i}(x) \rangle = \langle x^2 + 4x + 4 \rangle$, then $C' = \langle h_{a_i}(x) \rangle = \langle (x^2 + 3x + 4)^2 \rangle$. Since $(g_{a_i}(x), h_{a_i}(x)) = 1$, there exists a LCD annihilator code of parameter $[18, 12, 2]_5$.

Example 2. Let $a(x) = -(x^4 + x^6 - 1)$, then $R^a = \frac{\mathbb{F}_3[x]}{\langle x^8 - a(x) \rangle}$. Let $C = \langle g_{a_i}(x) \rangle = \langle x^4 + 2x^2 + 2 \rangle$, then $C' = \langle h_{a_i}(x) \rangle = \langle (x^2 + 1)^2 \rangle$. Since $(g_{a_i}(x), h_{a_i}(x)) = 1$, there exists a LCD annihilator code of parameter $[24, 15, 3]_3$.

Example 3. Let $a(x) = -(x^4 - 1)$, then $R^a = \frac{\mathbb{F}_3[x]}{\langle x^6 - a(x) \rangle}$. Let $C = \langle g_{a_i}(x) \rangle = \langle x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$, then $(g_{a_i}(x), h_{a_i}(x)) = 1$ and hence there exists a LCD annihilator code of parameter $[18, 9, 3]_3$.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] A. Alahmadi, S.T. Dougherty, A. Leroy, and P. Solé, *On the duality and the direction of polycyclic codes*, Adv. Math. Commun. **10** (2016), no. 4, 921–929.
<https://doi.org/10.3934/amc.2016049>.
- [2] A. Fotue-Tabue, E. Martínez-Moro, and J.T. Blackford, *On polycyclic codes over a finite chain ring*, Adv. Math. Commun. **14** (2020), no. 3, 455–466.
<https://doi.org/10.3934/amc.2020028>.
- [3] S.R. López-Permouth, B.R. Parra-Avila, and S. Szabo, *Dual generalizations of the concept of cyclicity of codes*, Adv. Math. Commun. **3** (2009), no. 3, 227–234.
<https://doi.org/10.3934/amc.2009.3.227>.
- [4] W. Qi, *On the polycyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q$* , Adv. Math. Commun. **18** (2024), no. 3, 661–673.
<https://doi.org/10.3934/amc.2022015>.