

## On the anti-forcing number of graph powers

Neda Soltani<sup>†</sup> and Saeid Alikhani\*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

<sup>†</sup>[Neda.soltani@ymail.com](mailto:Neda.soltani@ymail.com)

\*[alikhani@yazd.ac.ir](mailto:alikhani@yazd.ac.ir)

*Received: 9 June 2022; Accepted: 11 April 2023*

*Published Online: 25 April 2023*

**Abstract:** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple connected graph. A perfect matching (or Kekulé structure in chemical literature) of  $G$  is a set of disjoint edges which covers all vertices of  $G$ . The anti-forcing number of  $G$  is the smallest number of edges such that the remaining graph obtained by deleting these edges has a unique perfect matching and is denoted by  $af(G)$ . For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $m$ th power of  $G$ , denoted by  $G^m$ , is a graph with the same vertex set as  $G$  such that two vertices are adjacent in  $G^m$  if and only if their distance is at most  $m$  in  $G$ . In this paper, we study the anti-forcing number of the powers of some graphs.

**Keywords:** perfect matching, anti-forcing number, power of a graph.

**AMS Subject classification:** 05C70, 05C76

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a simple graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . A matching  $M$  in a graph  $G$  is a collection of edges of  $G$  such that no two edges from  $M$  share a vertex. If each vertex of  $G$  is incident with exactly one edge in  $M$ , the matching  $M$  is called perfect. In other words, all vertices in a perfect matching are saturated. Obviously, only graphs on an even number of vertices can have perfect matching. The study of perfect matchings has a long history in both mathematical and chemical literature. For more details on perfect matching, we refer the reader to see [12].

If  $G$  is a graph that admits a perfect matching  $M$ , then a forcing set of  $M$  is a subset  $S$  of  $M$  contained in no other perfect matchings of  $G$ . The minimum possible cardinality

---

\* *Corresponding Author*

of the forcing set  $S$  over all forcing sets of  $M$  is called the forcing number of  $M$  and denoted by  $f(G)$  or  $f(G, M)$ .

The notions of a forcing edge and the forcing number of a perfect matching first appeared in 1991 by Harary, Klein and Živković ([7]). The root of these concepts can be traced to the works [9, 13] by Randić and Klein where the forcing number was introduced under the name of “innate degree of freedom” of a Kekulé structure, which plays an important role in the resonance theory in chemistry ([6]).

Over the past two decades, more and more mathematicians were attracted to the study on forcing sets and the forcing numbers of perfect matchings of a graph. The scope of graphs in consideration has been extended from polyhexes to various bipartite graphs and non-bipartite graphs ([6]). Zhang, Ye and Shiu proved that the forcing number of fullerenes has a lower bound three and there are infinitely many fullerenes achieving this bound ([17]).

In 2007, Vukicević and Trinajstić in [14, 15] introduced the anti-forcing number of a graph  $G$ . For  $S \subseteq E(G)$ , let  $G - S$  denote the graph obtained by removing  $S$  from  $G$ . Then  $S$  is called an anti-forcing set if  $G - S$  has a unique perfect matching. The cardinality of the smallest anti-forcing set is called the anti-forcing number of  $G$  and denoted by  $af(G)$ . An edge  $e$  of  $G$  is called an anti-forcing edge if  $G - e$  has a unique perfect matching. Note that  $af(G) = |E(G)|$  if and only if  $G$  does not have any perfect matching. A graph  $G$  is called odd and even graph, if the number of vertices of  $G$  is odd and even, respectively.

Yang, Zhang and Lin proved that the anti-forcing number of every fullerene has a lower bound four. They also showed that for every even  $n \geq 20$  except  $n = 22$  and  $26$ , there exists a fullerene with  $n$  vertices that has the anti-forcing number four, and the fullerene with 26 vertices has the anti-forcing number five ([16]).

Recently, Lei, Yeh and Zhang in [11] defined the anti-forcing number of a perfect matching  $M$  of a graph  $G$  as the minimum number of edges not in  $M$  whose removal to make  $M$  as a single perfect matching of the resulting graph and denoted by  $af(G, M)$ . By this definition, the anti-forcing number of a graph  $G$  is the smallest anti-forcing number over all perfect matchings of  $G$ . They also proved that the anti-forcing number has a close relation with the forcing number. In fact, for any perfect matching  $M$  of a graph  $G$ , we have  $f(G, M) \leq af(G, M) \leq (\Delta - 1)f(G, M)$  where  $\Delta$  denotes the maximum degree of the graph  $G$ .

For every positive integer  $k$ , the  $k$ -power of  $G$  is defined on the  $V(G)$  by adding edges joining any two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  with distance at most  $k$  in  $G$  and denoted by  $G^k$  ([1, 10]). Equivalently,  $E(G^k) = \{xy : 1 \leq d_G(x, y) \leq k\}$ . The following lemma follows from the definition of the power of graphs.

**Lemma 1.** [4] *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$  and diameter  $d$ .*

(i) *For every natural number  $t \geq d$ ,  $G^t = K_n$ .*

(ii) *(Theorem 1 in [8]) Let  $k = mn$  where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $G^k = (G^m)^n$ .*

(iii) (Lemma 2.1 in [5]) Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two vertices of  $G$ . Then

$$d_{G^k}(x, y) = \left\lceil \frac{d_G(x, y)}{k} \right\rceil.$$

The anti-forcing number of some specific graphs such as paths, cycles, friendships and cactus graphs has studied in [3]. In this paper, we consider the anti-forcing number of the powers of these graphs.

## 2. Anti-forcing number of power of specific graphs

In this section, we compute the anti-forcing number of the powers of some certain graphs such as paths, cycles, friendship graphs and also some graphs that are of importance in Chemistry. The following theorem gives the anti-forcing number of the powers of even paths.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $P_k$  be a path of order even  $k$  and  $2 \leq m < k$ .

(i) If  $k = 2m$  or  $k < 2m$  and  $d(x_{k-m+1}, x_m) < 2$ , then

$$af(P_k^m) = (k - m)(m - 1).$$

(ii) If  $k < 2m$  and  $d(x_{k-m+1}, x_m) \geq 2$ , then

$$af(P_k^m) = (k - m)(m - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{2m-k-1}{2} \rfloor} (2m - k - 2i).$$

(iii) If  $k > 2m$ , then

$$af(P_k^m) = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2m} \right\rfloor m(m - 1) + af(P_{k-2m \lfloor \frac{k}{2m} \rfloor}^m).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is the vertex set of  $P_k^m$  and the set  $S$  has the smallest cardinality over all anti-forcing sets of the graph  $P_k^m$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq k - m$ , there exist  $m$  possibilities to saturate the vertex  $v_i$  and so to have an anti-forcing set with the smallest cardinality, we put the edges  $v_i v_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}, \dots, v_i v_{m+i-1}$  in  $S$ . Thus  $|S| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} (m - 1)$ . Note that for every  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the edges  $v_i v_{m+i} \in M$  where  $M$  is the unique perfect matching of  $P_k^m - S$ . If  $k = 2m$ , then

$$af(P_k^m) = |S| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} (m - 1) = (k - m)(m - 1) = m(m - 1).$$

Otherwise, assume that  $k < 2m$ . Then the vertices  $v_{k-m+1}, v_{k-m+2}, \dots, v_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, v_m$  are  $M$ -unsaturated. In this case, to have the smallest anti-forcing set, we add the edges  $v_{k-m+i}v_{k-m+i+1}, v_{k-m+i}v_{k-m+i+2}, \dots, v_{k-m+i}v_{m-i}$  to  $S$  where  $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{2m-k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . It is easy to see that the number of these edges is  $2m - k - 2i$  and so

$$af(P_k^m) = |S| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} (m-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{2m-k-1}{2} \rfloor} (2m - k - 2i).$$

Note that if there just exist two  $M$ -unsaturated vertices, then the second summation is zero.

Now suppose that  $k > 2m$ . Then the vertices  $v_{2m+1}, v_{2m+2}, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k$  are  $M$ -unsaturated. In this case, we should divide the graph  $P_k^m$  into subgraphs of order  $2m$ . Then we have  $\lfloor \frac{k}{2m} \rfloor$  subgraphs  $P_{2m}^m$  and a subgraph with  $k - 2m \lfloor \frac{k}{2m} \rfloor$  vertices. Since  $k - 2m \lfloor \frac{k}{2m} \rfloor < 2m$ , so by the previous cases, we have the result.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Clearly, the paths of order odd  $k$  does not have any perfect matching and so  $af(P_k^m) = |E(P_k^m)|$ . It is easy to see that for every  $m < k - 1$ , there exist  $k - m$  pairs of vertices which their distance in  $P_k$  is  $m$ . So we have

$$af(P_k^m) = |E(P_k^m)| = |\{xy : 1 \leq d_{P_k}(x, y) \leq m\}| = \sum_{i=1}^m (k - i) = mk - \frac{m}{2}(m + 1).$$

Otherwise,  $P_k^m$  is a complete graph of order  $k$  and so  $af(P_k^m) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ .

We need the following easy lemma to obtain a result for the anti-forcing number of the powers of even cycles.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$ . For every  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $m_1 < m_2$ , then  $af(G^{m_1}) \leq af(G^{m_2})$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $C_k$  be a cycle of order even  $k$ . Then for every  $m \geq 2$ ,*

$$\frac{k + 8}{4} \leq af(C_k^m) \leq \frac{k(k - 2)}{4}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, for every  $m \geq 2$ ,  $af(C_k^m) \geq af(C_k^2)$ . Suppose that  $V(C_k) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k\}$  and  $S_1$  has the smallest cardinality over all anti-forcing sets of graph  $C_k^2$ . So  $af(C_k^2) = |S_1|$ . Clearly, we have four possibilities to saturate the vertex  $v_1$  and to have an anti-forcing set with the smallest cardinality, we shall put three edges  $v_1v_2, v_1v_3$  and  $v_1v_{k-1}$  into  $S_1$  and so the edge  $v_1v_k \in M_1$  where  $M_1$  is the unique perfect matching of  $C_k^2 - S_1$ . Then there exist two edges  $v_2v_3$  and  $v_2v_4$  to saturate the vertex  $v_2$ . We should add the edge  $v_2v_3$  into  $S_1$  and so  $v_2v_4, v_3v_5 \in M_1$ . As the

same way, we can put the edge  $v_6v_7$  into  $S_1$  and so  $v_6v_8, v_7v_9 \in M_1$ . By continuing this method, we have

$$S_1 = \{v_1v_2, v_1v_3, v_1v_{k-1}, v_2v_3, v_6v_7, v_{10}v_{11}, v_{14}v_{15}, \dots, v_{k-4}v_{k-3}\}.$$

Hence  $|S_1| = 3 + l$  and  $|M_1| = 1 + 2l$  where  $l$  is the number of edges  $v_2v_3, v_6v_7, v_{10}v_{11}, \dots, v_{k-4}v_{k-3}$ . In addition, for every even  $k$ , the number of edges in a perfect matching of a graph of order  $k$  is equal to  $\frac{k}{2}$ . If  $\frac{k}{2}$  is odd, then  $\frac{k}{2} = 1 + 2l$  and so  $l = \frac{k-2}{4}$ . Thus we have  $|S_1| = 3 + l = 3 + \frac{k-2}{4} = \frac{k+10}{4}$ . Otherwise, there exists an edge  $v_{k-2}v_{k-1}$  that we shall add into  $M_1$ . Thus  $|M_1| = 2 + 2l$  and so  $l = \frac{k-4}{4}$ . Therefore  $|S_1| = 3 + \frac{k-4}{4} = \frac{k+8}{4}$  and so for every  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$af(C_k^m) \geq af(C_k^2) \geq \frac{k+8}{4}.$$

Since the diameter of  $C_k$  is equal to  $\frac{k}{2}$ , so for every  $m \geq \frac{k}{2}$ ,  $C_k^m$  is a complete graph of order  $k$ . Thus the upper bound of  $af(C_k^m)$  is equal to  $af(C_k^{\frac{k}{2}})$ . Now assume that  $S_2$  has the smallest cardinality over all anti-forcing sets of graph  $C_k^{\frac{k}{2}}$ . Thus  $af(C_k^{\frac{k}{2}}) = |S_2|$ . Clearly, we have  $k - 1$  possibilities to saturate the vertex  $v_1$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that the edge  $v_1v_k \in M_2$  where  $M_2$  is the unique perfect matching of  $C_k^{\frac{k}{2}} - S_2$ . So we can put  $k - 2$  other edges into  $S_2$ . The vertex  $v_2$  can be saturated by one of the edges  $v_2v_3, v_2v_4, \dots, v_2v_{k-2}$  or  $v_2v_{k-1}$ . Suppose that  $v_2v_{k-1} \in M_2$ . Then  $k - 4$  other edges belong to  $S_2$ . As the same way, for every  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2} - 1$ , there exist  $k - 2i + 1$  possibilities to saturate the vertex  $v_i$  and to have an anti-forcing set with the smallest cardinality, we shall put the edges  $v_i v_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}, \dots, v_i v_{k-i}$  into  $S_2$ . Therefore for every  $m \geq 2$ ,


$$af(C_k^m) \leq af(C_k^{\frac{k}{2}}) = |S_2| = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} (k - 2i) = \frac{k(k - 2)}{4}.$$

□

**Remark 2.** (i) The lower and upper bounds of Theorem 2 are sharp.

(ii) Clearly, the cycles of order odd  $k$  does not have any perfect matching and thus  $af(C_k^m) = |E(C_k^m)|$ . If  $m < \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ , then there exist  $k$  pairs of vertices which their distance in  $C_k$  is  $m$  and so  $af(C_k^m) = mk$ . Otherwise,  $C_k^m$  is a complete graph and so  $af(C_k^m) = k \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  where  $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$  is the diameter of  $C_k$ .

Here, we consider the anti-forcing number of the powers of friendship graphs. The friendship (or Dutch-Windmill) graph  $F_k$  is a graph can be constructed by joining  $k$  copies of the cycle graph  $C_3$  with a common vertex. Some examples of friendship



**Figure 1.** Friendship graphs  $F_2, F_3, F_4$  and  $F_k$ , respectively.

graphs are shown in Figure 1. Clearly, a friendship graph  $F_k$  has  $2k + 1$  vertices and  $3k$  edges. Thus for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $af(F_k^m) = |E(F_k^m)|$  and since the diameter of  $F_k^m$  is equal to 2, so for every  $m \geq 2$ ,  $F_k^m$  is a complete graph of order  $2k + 1$ . Hence

$$af(F_k^m) = 2k^2 + k.$$

Here, we study the anti-forcing number of the powers of cactus graphs that are of importance in chemistry. A cactus graph is a connected graph in which no edge lies in more than one cycle. Consequently, each block of a cactus graph is either an edge or a cycle. If all blocks of a cactus  $G$  are cycles of the same size  $i$ , the cactus is  $i$ -uniform. A triangular cactus is a graph whose blocks are triangles, i.e., a 3-uniform cactus. A vertex shared by two or more triangles is called a cut-vertex. If each triangle of a triangular cactus  $G$  has at most two cut-vertices and each cut-vertex is shared by exactly two triangles, we say that  $G$  is a chain triangular cactus. The number of triangles in  $G$  is called the length of the chain. Obviously, all chain triangular cactuses of the same length are isomorphic. Hence we denote the chain triangular cactus of length  $k$  by  $T_k$  ([2]). An example of a chain triangular cactus is shown in Figure 2. Clearly, a chain triangular cactus of length  $k$  has  $2k + 1$  vertices and  $3k$  edges. So for every  $m \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} af(T_k^m) &= |E(T_k^m)| = 3k + 4(k - 1) + 4(k - 2) + \dots + 4(k - (m - 1)) \\ &= 3k + 4k(m - 1) - 2m(m - 1) \\ &= 4km - k - 2m^2 + 2m. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing triangles in the definition of triangular cactus by cycles of length 4, we obtain a cactus whose every block is  $C_4$ . We call such a cactus, square cactus. Note that the internal squares may differ in the way they connect to their neighbors. If their cut-vertices are adjacent, we say that such a square is an ortho-square and if the cut-vertices are not adjacent, we call the square a para-square ([2]). An ortho-chain square cactus of length  $k$ ,  $O_k$ , and a para-chain square cactus of length  $k$ ,  $Q_k$ , are shown in Figure 3. The following theorem gives the anti-forcing number of the powers of the ortho-chain square cactuses of length even  $k$ .




Figure 2. A chain triangular cactus  $T_k$ .




Figure 3. An ortho-chain square cactus  $O_k$  and a para-chain square cactus  $Q_k$ , respectively.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $O_k$  be an ortho-chain square cactus of length  $k$ . If  $k$  is even, then for every  $m \geq 4$ ,

$$af(O_k^m) = af(O_k^{m-1}) + 9(k - m) + 15$$

and  $af(O_k^2) = 10k - 4$ ,  $af(O_k^3) = 18k - 16$ .


*Proof.* Since  $k$  is even, so the order of  $O_k$  is odd. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} af(O_k^m) &= |E(O_k^m)| = |\{xy : 1 \leq d_{O_k}(x, y) \leq m\}| \\ &= |\{xy : 1 \leq d_{O_k}(x, y) \leq m - 1\}| + |\{xy : d_{O_k}(x, y) = m\}| \\ &= af(O_k^{m-1}) + |\{xy : d_{O_k}(x, y) = m\}| \end{aligned}$$

For particular cases  $m = 2$  and  $m = 3$ , the proof is easy and left to the reader. Suppose that  $m \geq 4$ . We shall compute the number of pairs of vertices which their distance is  $m$ . We label the vertices of  $O_k^m$  with  $v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}, \dots, v_{x_k}, v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, \dots, v_{y_{k+1}}$  and  $v_{z_1}, v_{z_2}, \dots, v_{z_k}$  as shown in Figure 4. We have the following cases:

- 1)  $d(v_{x_i}, v_{x_{m+i-2}}) = m$ ,
- 2)  $d(v_{x_i}, v_{y_{m+i-1}}) = m$ ,
- 3)  $d(v_{x_i}, v_{z_{m+i-3}}) = m$ ,
- 4)  $d(v_{y_i}, v_{x_{m+i-1}}) = m$ ,
- 5)  $d(v_{y_i}, v_{y_{m+i}}) = m$ ,
- 6)  $d(v_{y_i}, v_{z_{m+i-2}}) = m$ ,
- 7)  $d(v_{z_i}, v_{x_{m+i-1}}) = m$ ,
- 8)  $d(v_{z_i}, v_{y_{m+i}}) = m$ ,
- 9)  $d(v_{z_i}, v_{z_{m+i-2}}) = m$ .

Here we compute the number of pairs of vertices which satisfy case 1. Since the number of vertices with index  $x$  is  $k$ , so we have  $i + m - 2 \leq k$  and thus  $i$  can choose every element between 1 and  $k - m + 2$ . So there exist  $k - m + 2$  pairs in case 1. With similar approach, the number of pairs of vertices which satisfy another cases is  $k - m + 2, k - m + 3, k - m + 1, k - m + 1, k - m + 2, k - m + 1, k - m + 1$  and  $k - m + 2$ , respectively and the result is obtained by adding these amounts and direct substitution into above formula. □



**Figure 4.** A labeled ortho-chain square cactus.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $O_k$  be an ortho-chain square cactus of length even  $k$ . Then for every  $m \geq 4$ ,

$$af(O_k^m) = 9k(m - 1) - \left(\frac{m - 3}{2}\right)(9m + 6) - 16.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_m := af(O_k^m)$ . By Theorem 3, for every  $m \geq 4$ , we have  $X_m = X_{m-1} + 9(k - m) + 15$ . By solving this recurrence relation, we conclude that

$$X_m = X_3 + \left(\frac{m - 3}{2}\right)(18k - 9m - 6)$$

and  $X_3 = 18k - 16$ . So the result follows. □

In the next theorem, we present the anti-forcing number of the powers of the para-chain square cactuses of length even  $k$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $Q_k$  be a para-chain square cactus of length  $k$ . If  $k$  is even, then

- (i) for every even  $m \geq 4$ ,  $af(Q_k^m) = af(Q_k^{m-1}) + 5(k - \frac{m}{2}) + 1$  and  $af(Q_k^2) = 10k - 4$ .
- (ii) for every odd  $m \geq 3$ ,  $af(Q_k^m) = af(Q_k^{m-1}) + 4(k - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $k$  is even, so the order of  $Q_k$  is odd. Thus in the same way as proof of Theorem 3, it is sufficient to compute the number of pairs of vertices which their distance is  $m$ . We label the vertices of  $Q_k^m$  with  $v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}, \dots, v_{x_k}, v_{y_1}, v_{y_2}, \dots, v_{y_{k+1}}$  and  $v_{z_1}, v_{z_2}, \dots, v_{z_k}$  as shown in Figure 5. Then


(i) for every even  $m \geq 4$ , we have the following cases:

- 1)  $d(v_{x_i}, v_{x_{\frac{m}{2}+i}}) = m,$
- 2)  $d(v_{x_i}, v_{z_{\frac{m}{2}+i}}) = m,$
- 3)  $d(v_{y_i}, v_{y_{\frac{m}{2}+i}}) = m,$
- 4)  $d(v_{z_i}, v_{x_{\frac{m}{2}+i}}) = m,$
- 5)  $d(v_{z_i}, v_{z_{\frac{m}{2}+i}}) = m.$

The number of pairs of vertices which satisfy case 3 is  $k - \frac{m}{2} + 1$  and the number of pairs of vertices which satisfy another cases is the same and equal to  $k - \frac{m}{2}$ . So by adding these amounts, we have  $af(Q_k^m) = af(Q_k^{m-1}) + 5(k - \frac{m}{2}) + 1$ .

Clearly, if  $m = 2$ , then  $af(Q_k^2) = 10k - 4$ .





**Figure 5.** A labeled para-chain square cactus.

(ii) for every odd  $m \geq 3$ , we have the following cases:

- 1)  $d(v_{x_i}, v_{y_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + i + 1}}) = m,$
- 2)  $d(v_{y_i}, v_{x_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + i}}) = m,$
- 3)  $d(v_{y_i}, v_{z_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + i}}) = m,$
- 4)  $d(v_{z_i}, v_{y_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + i + 1}}) = m.$

There exist  $k - \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$  pairs of vertices which satisfy each of these cases and we have the result with similar approach.

□

With similar method that used in the proof of Corollary 1, we have the following corollary which gives the anti-forcing number of the powers of the para-chain square cactuses of length even  $k$ , directly.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $Q_k$  be a para-chain square cactus of length even  $k$ .

- (i) For every even  $m \geq 4$ ,  $af(Q_k^m) = (\frac{9m+2}{2})k - (\frac{m-2}{8})(9m + 16) - 4.$
- (ii) For every odd  $m \geq 3$ ,  $af(Q_k^m) = (\frac{9m+1}{2})k - (\frac{m-1}{8})(9m - 11) - 4.$

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered the anti-forcing number of the powers of paths, cycles, friendships and chain triangular cactuses. In particular, we have established some formulas for the anti-forcing number of the powers of the chain square cactuses of length even  $k$ . It would be interesting to obtain the anti-forcing number of the powers of these graphs when their length is odd. Therefore we end this section by the following problem.

**Problem:** Find the anti-forcing number of the powers of the chain square cactuses of length  $k$  for when  $k$  is odd.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their careful reading and helpful comments.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

## References

- [1] G. Agnarsson and M.M. Halldórsson, *Coloring powers of planar graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **16** (2003), no. 4, 651–662.  
<https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895480100367950>.
- [2] S. Alikhani, S. Jahari, M. Mehryar, and R. Hasni, *Counting the number of dominating sets of cactus chains*, Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. Rapid Commun. **8** (2014), no. 9-10, 955–960.
- [3] S. Alikhani and N. Soltani, *Anti-forcing number of some specific graphs*, Iran. J. Math. Chem. **8** (2017), no. 3, 313–325.  
<https://doi.org/10.22052/ijmc.2017.60978.1235>.
- [4] S. Alikhani and S. Soltani, *Distinguishing number and distinguishing index of natural and fractional powers of graphs*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **43** (2017), no. 7, 2471–2482.
- [5] X. An and B. Wu, *The Wiener index of the  $k$ th power of a graph*, Appl. Math. Lett. **21** (2008), no. 5, 436–440.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2007.03.025>.
- [6] Z. Che and Z. Chen, *Forcing on perfect matchings-A survey*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **66** (2011), no. 1, 93–136.
- [7] F. Harary, D.J. Klein, and T.P. Živkovič, *Graphical properties of polyhexes: perfect matching vector and forcing*, J. Math. Chem. **6** (1991), no. 1, 295–306.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01192587>.
- [8] A.M. Hobbs, *Some hamiltonian results in powers of graphs*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B **77** (1973), no. 1-2, 1–10.
- [9] D.J. Klein and M. Randić, *Innate degree of freedom of a graph*, J. Comput. Chem. **8** (1987), no. 4, 516–521.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.540080432>.
- [10] D. Král', *Coloring powers of chordal graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **18** (2004), no. 3, 451–461.  
<https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895480103424079>.
- [11] H. Lei, Y.N. Yeh, and H. Zhang, *Anti-forcing numbers of perfect matchings of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **202** (2016), 95–105.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2015.08.024>.
- [12] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, *Matching Theory*, Ann. Discrete Math., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [13] M. Randić and D.J. Klein, *Mathematical and computational concepts in chem-*

- istry*, Mathematical and Computational Concepts in Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1985), 274–282.
- [14] D. Vukičević and N. Trinajstić, *On the anti-Kekulé number and anti-forcing number of cata-condensed benzenoids*, J. Math. Chem. **43** (2008), no. 2, 719–726.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-006-9223-5>.
- [15] D. Vukičević and N. Trinajstić, *On the anti-forcing number of benzenoids*, J. Math. Chem. **42** (2007), no. 3, 575–583.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-006-9133-6>.
- [16] Q. Yang, H. Zhang, and Y. Lin, *On the anti-forcing number of fullerene graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **74** (2015), no. 3, 673–692.
- [17] H. Zhang, D. Ye, and W.C. Shiu, *Forcing matching numbers of fullerene graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **158** (2010), no. 5, 573–582.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2009.10.013>.