Research Article



# $\gamma\text{-total}$ dominating graphs of lollipop, umbrella, and coconut graphs

Pannawat Eakawinrujee<sup>1,2, $\dagger$ </sup> and Nantapath Trakultraipruk<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Thammasat Secondary School, Faculty of Learning Sciences and Education, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani 12121, Thailand <sup>†</sup>p.eakawinrujee@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand \*n.trakultraipruk@yahoo.com

> Received: 29 July 2022; Accepted: 5 April 2024 Published Online: 28 April 2024

**Abstract:** A total dominating set of a graph G is a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  such that every vertex of G is adjacent to some vertex in D. The total domination number  $\gamma_t(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set. The  $\gamma$ -total dominating graph  $TD_{\gamma}(G)$  of G is the graph whose vertices are minimum total dominating sets, and two minimum total dominating sets of  $TD_{\gamma}(G)$  are adjacent if they differ by only one vertex. In this paper, we determine the total domination numbers of lollipop graphs, umbrella graphs, and coconut graphs, and especially their  $\gamma$ -total dominating graphs.

Keywords: total domination number, total dominating graph, gamma graph

AMS Subject classification: 05C69.

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a graph whose vertex set is V(G) and edge set is E(G). For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the open and closed neighborhoods of v are  $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$ and  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ , respectively. For a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$ , the open and closed neighborhoods of D are  $N(D) = \bigcup_{v \in D} N(v)$  and  $N[D] = N(D) \cup D$ , respectively. We write G[D] for the subgraph of G induced by D.

A dominating set of G is a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  with  $N(v) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus D$ . For a review of domination in graphs, see [12, 13]. The gamma graph

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author

<sup>© 2024</sup> Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University

 $\gamma \cdot G$  of G, defined by Subramanian and Sridharan [22], is the graph where its vertices are minimum dominating sets, and two vertices  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $\gamma \cdot G$  are adjacent if  $D_2 = (D_1 \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$  for some  $u \in D_1$  and  $v \notin D_1$ . For additional results on  $\gamma \cdot G$ , see [15, 20, 21]. Fricke *et al.* [9] also defined the gamma graph  $G(\gamma)$  of G to be the graph where  $V(G(\gamma)) = V(\gamma \cdot G)$ , and two vertices  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $G(\gamma)$  are adjacent if  $D_2 = (D_1 \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$  for some  $u \in D_1, v \notin D_1$ , and  $uv \in E(G)$ . Further results concerning  $G(\gamma)$  can be found in [2, 4]. For the graphs using the other types of domination with the same adjacency condition as  $\gamma \cdot G$  and  $G(\gamma)$ , see [5–7, 18, 19, 24] and [17], respectively.

Haas and Seyffarth [10] defined the k-dominating graph  $D_k(G)$  of G, as the graph whose vertices are dominating sets with cardinality at most k, and two vertices of  $D_k(G)$  are adjacent if they differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex. For more details, see [11, 16, 23]. The k-total dominating graph [1] and the k-independent dominating graph [8] are defined similarly using total dominating sets and independent dominating sets, respectively.

A set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a total dominating set of G if  $N(v) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . The minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of G is called the total domination number  $\gamma_t(G)$ . A total dominating set D is a  $\gamma_t(G)$ -set if  $|D| = \gamma_t(G)$ . The total domination in graphs was introduced by Cockayne et al. [3]. The  $\gamma$ -total dominating graph  $TD_{\gamma}(G)$  of G, defined by Wongsriva and Trakultraipruk [24], is the graph whose vertices are  $\gamma_t(G)$ -sets, and two  $\gamma_t(G)$ -sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $TD_{\gamma}(G)$  are adjacent if  $D_2 = (D_1 \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$  for some  $u \in D_1$  and  $v \notin D_1$ . In this paper, we determine the total domination numbers of lollipop, umbrella, and coconut graphs in Section 3. Then we study their  $\gamma$ -total dominating graphs in Sections 4 and 5.

#### 2. Preliminary Results

In this section, we recall some definitions and results, which are used in our main results.

A path and a complete graph with k vertices are denoted by  $P_k$  and  $K_k$ , respectively. If v is adjacent to a vertex of degree one, then v is a support vertex. We first provide a straightforward observation.

**Observation 1.** Each support vertex of a graph G is in every  $\gamma_t(G)$ -set.

The total domination numbers of paths established by Henning [14] are shown in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1 ([14]).** Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer. Then  $\gamma_t(P_k) = \lfloor \frac{k+2}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{k+3}{4} \rfloor$ .

The Cartesian product of graphs G and H, denoted by  $G\Box H$ , is the graph with  $V(G\Box H) = V(G) \times V(H)$  where two vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  of  $V(G\Box H)$  are

adjacent if either  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $v_1v_2 \in E(H)$ , or  $v_1 = v_2$  and  $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$ .

In [24], the authors determined the  $\gamma$ -total dominating graphs of paths as listed below.

**Theorem 2** ([24]). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k}) \cong P_1$ .

**Theorem 3** ([24]). Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+3}) \cong P_{k+2}$ .

**Theorem 4** ([24]). Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+2}) \cong P_{k+1} \square P_{k+1}$ .

**Theorem 5** ([24]). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+1}) \cong P_k$ .

We denote  $P_k : v_1 v_2 v_3 \cdots v_k$  to be the path. From the proofs of Theorems 3, 4, and 5, we can get Lemmas 2, 3, and 4 shown below, respectively.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer and  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+3}) \cong P_{k+2} \cong D_1D_2\cdots D_{k+2}$ , where  $D_x$  is a  $\gamma_t(P_{4k+3})$ -set for all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+2\}$ .

- (1) If  $v_{4k+3} \in D_x$ , then either x = 1 or x = k + 2.
- (2) If  $D_{k+2}$  contains the vertex  $v_{4k+3}$ , then  $D_{k+2} = (D_{k+1} \setminus \{v_{4k+1}\}) \cup \{v_{4k+3}\}.$

We consider the  $\gamma_t(P_{4k+2})$ -sets of  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+2}) \cong P_{k+1} \square P_{k+1}$  as the entries in a matrix.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer and  $D_{x,y}$  the  $\gamma_t(P_{4k+2})$ -set at the position (x, y) (row x and column y) of  $TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+2}) \cong P_{k+1} \square P_{k+1}$  for all  $x, y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$ .

- (1) If  $v_{4k+2} \in D_{x,y}$ , then either x = 1, x = k+1, y = 1, or y = k+1.
- (2) If  $D_{x,k+1}$  contains the vertex  $v_{4k+2}$ , then
  - (2.1)  $D_{x,k+1} = (D_{x,k} \setminus \{v_{4k}\}) \cup \{v_{4k+2}\}$  for each  $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ ,
  - (2.2)  $D_{k+1,k+1} = \{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{v_{4k+1}, v_{4k+2}\}, and$
  - (2.3)  $D_{k+1,1}, D_{k+1,2}, \ldots, D_{k+1,k+1}$  are the only  $\gamma_t(P_{4k+2})$ -sets containing the vertex  $v_{4k-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. Then each  $\gamma_t(P_{4k+1})$ -set does not contain the vertex  $v_{4k+1}$ .

### 3. Total Domination Numbers of Lollipop, Umbrella, and Coconut Graphs

The definitions of a lollipop graph, a umbrella graph, and a coconut graph are appeared in this section. In particular, the total domination numbers of those graphs are determined.

Let p and q be positive integers. A lollipop graph  $L_{p,q}$  is obtained by affixing an endpoint of a path  $P_p$  to a vertex of a complete graph  $K_q$ . Throughout this paper, we let the vertices of  $L_{p,q}$  be as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. The lollipop graph  $L_{p,q}$ 

An umbrella graph  $U_{p,q}$  is obtained by appending an endpoint of a path  $P_p$  to the central vertex of a fan graph  $K_1 \vee P_{q-1}$ . A coconut graph  $C_{p,q}$  is obtained by appending an endpoint of a path  $P_p$  to the support vertex of a complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,q-1}$ . We let the vertices of  $U_{p,q}$  and  $C_{p,q}$  be as shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively.



Figure 2. The umbrella graph  $U_{p,q}$ 

Note that  $L_{p,1} \cong U_{p,1} \cong C_{p,1} \cong P_{p+1}$ . By Lemma 1,  $\gamma_t(L_{p,1}) = \gamma_t(U_{p,1}) = \gamma_t(C_{p,1}) = \lfloor \frac{p+3}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor$ . For  $q \ge 2$ , we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $\gamma_t(L_{p,q}) = \gamma_t(U_{p,q}) = \gamma_t(C_{p,q}) = \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+5}{4} \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* If q = 2, then  $L_{p,q} \cong P_{p+2}$ , so  $\gamma_t(L_{p,2}) = \gamma_t(P_{p+2}) = \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+5}{4} \rfloor$  by Lemma 1. Let  $q \ge 3$  and P' be the graph obtained from  $L_{p,q}$  by deleting the vertices  $u_3, u_4, \ldots, u_q$ . Clearly,  $P' \cong P_{p+2}$  and then  $\gamma_t(P') = \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+5}{4} \rfloor$ . Let D be a



Figure 3. The coconut graph  $C_{p,q}$ 

 $\gamma_t(L_{p,q})$ -set. We show that  $|D| \geq \gamma_t(P')$ . If  $u_1 \in D$ , then to dominate  $u_1$ , D contains either  $v_p$  or, without loss of generality,  $u_2$ . In both cases, D is a total dominating set of P', and thus  $|D| \geq \gamma_t(P')$ . On the other hand, we assume that  $u_1 \notin D$ . Since Dis a  $\gamma_t(L_{p,q})$ -set, without loss of generality, D contain exactly two vertices  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Then  $D' = (D \setminus \{u_3\}) \cup \{u_1\}$  is a total dominating set of P', and hence  $|D| = |D'| \geq \gamma_t(P')$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_t(L_{p,q}) = |D| \geq \gamma_t(P')$ . Note that  $U_{p,q}$  and  $C_{p,q}$  are spanning subgraphs of  $L_{p,q}$ , so  $\gamma_t(U_{p,q}) \geq \gamma_t(L_{p,q})$  and  $\gamma_t(C_{p,q}) \geq \gamma_t(L_{p,q})$ .

We next determine the upper bounds of  $\gamma_t(L_{p,q}), \gamma_t(U_{p,q}), \text{ and } \gamma_t(C_{p,q})$ . If  $p \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ , let  $D = \{v_i, v_{i+1} : i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, i < p\} \cup \{v_p, u_1\}$ ; otherwise, let  $D = \{v_i, v_{i+1} : i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\} \cup \{u_1\}$ . Then D is a total dominating set of  $L_{p,q}$  with  $|D| = \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+5}{4} \rfloor$ , and hence  $\gamma_t(L_{p,q}) \leq \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+5}{4} \rfloor$ . Likewise,  $\gamma_t(U_{p,q}) \leq \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p+5}{4} \rfloor$  and  $\gamma_t(C_{p,q}) \leq \lfloor \frac{p+4}{4} \rfloor$ . The theorem follows.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. $\gamma$ -Total Dominating Graphs of Lollipop Graphs

In this section, we study the  $\gamma$ -total dominating graph of a lollipop graph  $L_{p,q}$ . If q = 1, then  $L_{p,q} \cong P_{p+1}$ . Theorems 2 - 5 provide the results on  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{p,1}) \cong TD_{\gamma}(P_{p+1})$ . For  $q \geq 2$ , we divide the value of p into four cases. If p = 4k + 2, then we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k+2,q}) \cong P_1$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6, we get  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+2,q}) = 2k+2$ . Then there is exactly one  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+2,q})$ -set, which is  $D = \{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{v_{4k+2}, u_1\}$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then each  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set contains the vertex  $u_1$ .

*Proof.* For q = 2, the vertex  $u_1$  is a support vertex of  $L_{4k+1,2} \cong P_{4k+3}$ , and hence the lemma follows by Observation 1. Let  $q \ge 3$  and suppose, contrary to the statement, that there exists a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set D that does not contain  $u_1$ . Thus, D contains exactly two vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Let  $S = \{v : v \notin N(\{u_i, u_j\})\}$ , and then the induced subgraph  $L_{4k+1,q}[S]$  is  $P_{4k+1}$ . By Theorem 6, |D| = 2k+2 and

thus the 2k remaining vertices of D must dominate all vertices in  $L_{4k+1,q}[S]$ , which is impossible.

#### **Theorem 8.** Let $k \ge 0$ and $q \ge 2$ be integers. Then $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k+1,q}) \cong L_{k,q}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , let  $P^i$  be the subgraph of  $L_{4k+1,q}$  induced by  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k+1}, u_1, u_i\}$ , and then  $P^i \cong P_{4k+3}$ . By Theorem 3, for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $TD_{\gamma}(P^i) \cong P_{k+2} \cong D_1^i D_2^i \cdots D_{k+2}^i$ , where  $D_x^i$  is a  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set for each  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+2\}$ , so by Observation 1,  $u_1 \in D_x^i$ . By Lemma 2(1), without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D_{k+2}^i$  contains  $u_i$ . If  $x \neq k+2$ , then  $D_x^i = D_x^j$ for all  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , so we let  $D_x = D_x^i$ . Next, we claim that  $D_{k+2}^i$  and  $D_{k+2}^j$ are adjacent for all  $i \neq j$ . By Lemma 2(2), we get  $D_{k+2}^i = (D_{k+1} \setminus \{v_{4k+1}\}) \cup \{u_i\} = [(D_{k+1} \setminus \{v_{4k+1}\}) \cup \{u_j\}] \setminus \{u_j\} \cup \{u_i\} = (D_{k+2}^j \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\}$ , so the claim holds.

Note that  $\gamma_t(P^i) = 2k + 2 = \gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ , and every  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set is also a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Hence,  $D_1, \ldots, D_{k+1}, D_{k+2}^2, \ldots, D_{k+2}^q$  are  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ -sets containing  $u_1$ . By Lemma 5, each  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set contains  $u_1$ , so it is a  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Therefore,  $D_1, \ldots, D_{k+1}, D_{k+2}^2, \ldots, D_{k+2}^q$  are the only  $\gamma_t(L_{4k+1,q})$ -sets, and in addition they form the lollipop graph  $L_{k,q}$  (see Figure 4).



Figure 4. The  $\gamma$ -total dominating graph of  $L_{4k+1,q}$ 

The Johnson graph  $J_{p,q}$  is the graph whose vertices correspond to the q-element subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ , where two vertices are adjacent when they meet in a (q-1)-element set. Clearly,  $J_{p,q}$  has  $\binom{p}{q}$  vertices. In Figure 5, we show the Johnson graph  $J_{4,2}$ .



Figure 5. The Johnson graph  $J_{4,2}$ 

Note that  $\gamma_t(K_p) = 2$ . It follows from the definition that the  $\gamma$ -total domination graph of  $K_p$  is precisely the Johnson graph  $J_{p,2}$ , as stated the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $p \ge 2$  be an integer. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(K_p) \cong J_{p,2}$ .

Let  $L_{p,q}^r = L_{p,q} \Box P_r$ , where the vertices of  $L_{p,q}^r$  are labeled as shown in Figure 6. For convenience, we write q-1 vertices  $v_{r,p+2}, v_{r,p+3}, \ldots, v_{r,p+q}$  of  $L_{p,q}^r$  for  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{q-1}$ , respectively. Let  $JL_{p,q}^r$  be the graph obtained from  $L_{p,q}^r$  by adding the vertices  $u_q, u_{q+1}, \ldots, u_{\binom{q}{2}}$  such that  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{q-1}, u_q, u_{q+1}, \ldots, u_{\binom{q}{2}}$  form the Johnson graph  $J_{q,2}$ . We illustrate the graph  $JL_{5,4}^4$  in Figure 7.



Figure 6. The graph  $L_{p,q}^r$ 

		•		•	•		$>\!\!\!>$		
	$v_{1,1}$	$v_{1,2}$	$v_{1,3}$	$v_{1,4}$	$v_{1,5}$	$v_{1,6}$	$v_{1,7}$	$v_{1,8}$	$v_{1,9}$
4							$\sim$		L
	$v_{2,1}$	$v_{2,2}$	$v_{2,3}$	$v_{2,4}$	$v_{2,5}$	$v_{2,6}$	$v_{2,7}$	$v_{2,8}$	$v_{2,9}$
•	$v_{3,1}$	$v_{3,2}$	$v_{3,3}$	$v_{3,4}$	$v_{3,5}$	$v_{3,6}$	$v_{3,7}$	$v_{3,8}$	$v_{3,9}$
							$\langle \rangle$		
•	$v_{4,1}$	$v_{4,2}$	$v_{4,3}$	$v_{4,4}$	$v_{4,5}$	$v_{4,6}$ u		$u_2$	$u_3$
							u	4	$u_5$
								Ń	
									$u_6$

Figure 7. The graph  $JL_{5,4}^4$ 

**Theorem 10.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q}) \cong JL_{k-1,q}^{k+1}$ .

Proof. For each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , let  $P^i$  be the subgraph of  $L_{4k,q}$  induced by  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k}, u_1, u_i\}$ , so  $TD_{\gamma}(P^i) \cong TD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+2}) \cong P_{k+1} \square P_{k+1}$  by Theorem 2. For each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$  and  $x, y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$ , let  $D^i_{x,y}$  be the  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set at the position (x, y) of  $TD_{\gamma}(P^i)$ . By Lemma 3(1), without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D^i_{x,k+1}$  contains  $u_i$ . If  $y \neq k+1$ , then  $D^i_{x,y} = D^j_{x,y}$  for all  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Hence, for all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$ , we let  $D_{x,y} = D^i_{x,y}$  if  $y \neq k+1$ ; otherwise, let  $D^i_{x,k+1} = D_{x,k+i-1}$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Note that  $D_{x,k}$  is adjacent to  $D_{x,k+i-1}$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . We next show that  $D_{x,k+i-1}$  and  $D_{x,k+j-1}$  are adjacent for all  $i \neq j$ . By Lemma 3(2.1), for each  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$ , we get  $D_{x,k+i-1} = D^i_{x,k+1} = (D_{x,k} \setminus \{v_{4k}\}) \cup \{u_i\} = [(D_{x,k} \setminus \{v_{4k}\}) \cup \{u_j\}] \setminus \{u_j\} \cup \{u_i\} = (D^j_{x,k+1} \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\} = (D_{x,k+j-1} \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\}$ 

Note that  $\gamma_t(P^i) = 2k+2 = \gamma_t(L_{4k,q})$ , and a  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set is a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k,q})$ -set containing  $u_1$  and vice versa. Thus, all  $D_{x,y}$ 's with  $1 \le x \le k+1$  and  $1 \le y \le k+q-1$  are the only  $\gamma_t(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing  $u_1$ , and they form the graph  $L_{k-1,q}^{k+1}$  in  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q})$ (see Figure 8).



Figure 8. The  $\gamma$ -total dominating graph of  $L_{4k,q}$ 

Finally, we find all  $\gamma_t(L_{4k,q})$ -sets that do not contain  $u_1$ . Then such a set contains 2k vertices from  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k}\}$  and two vertices from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Thus, it is the union of  $D = \{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\}$  and  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  for some distinct  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . By Lemma 3(2.2), for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $D_{k+1,k+i-1} = D_{k+1,k+1}^i = \{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{u_1, u_i\} = D \cup \{u_1, u_i\}$ . For all  $1 \le i < j \le q$ , let  $D^{i,j} = D \cup \{u_i, u_j\}$ . Theorem 10 implies that all  $D^{i,j}$ 's form the Johnson graph  $J_{q,2}$  in  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q})$  (see Figure 8). Moreover, for all  $2 \le i < j \le q$ ,  $D^{i,j}$  is not adjacent to

 $D_{x,y}$  for all  $y \leq k$ , which does not contain  $u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q$ . By Lemma 3(2.3), for each  $x \neq k+1$  and  $y \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $D_{x,k+y-1} = D_{x,k+1}^y$  contains  $u_1$  and  $u_y$  but not  $v_{4k-1}$ , so  $D_{x,k+y-1} \setminus \{u_1\} \cup \{u_j\}$  is not a total dominating set for all  $j \notin \{1, y\}$  since  $v_{4k}$  is not dominated. This means that  $D_{x,k+y-1}$  with  $x \neq k+1$  is not adjacent to  $D^{i,j}$  for all  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then each  $\gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set does not contain the vertex  $u_i$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume on contrary that there exists a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set D containing  $u_i$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . To dominate  $u_i$ , we need at least one vertex  $u_j \in D$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$  with  $j \neq i$ . Let  $S = \{v : v \notin N(\{u_i, u_j\})\}$ . If j = 1, then the induced subgraph  $L_{4k-1,q}[S] \cong P_{4k-2}$ ; otherwise,  $L_{4k-1,q}[S] \cong P_{4k-1}$ . Note that |D| = 2k+1, so Lemma 1 implies that the 2k - 1 remaining vertices of D cannot dominate all vertices in  $L_{4k-1,q}[S]$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q}) \cong P_k$ .

Proof. For each  $i \in \{2, 3, ..., q\}$ , let  $P^i$  be the subgraph of  $L_{4k-1,q}$  induced by  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k-1}, u_1, u_i\}$ , and then by Theorem 5,  $TD_{\gamma}(P^i) \cong P_k \cong D_1^i D_2^i \cdots D_k^i$ , where  $D_x^i$  is a  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set for all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . By Lemma 4,  $D_1^i, D_2^i, \ldots, D_k^i$  do not contain  $u_i$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , so without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D_x^i = D_x^j$  for all  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , and we let  $D_x = D_x^i$ . Since  $\gamma_t(P^i) = 2k+1 = \gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$  and every  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set is a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , we get  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k$  are  $\gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets. Lemma 6 implies that each  $\gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set is also a  $\gamma_t(P^i)$ -set for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Therefore,  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k$  are the only  $\gamma_t(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets, and they form the path with k vertices in  $TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q})$ .

## 5. $\gamma$ -Total Dominating Graphs of Umbrella and Coconut Graphs

Let p and q be positive integers. If q = 1, then we immediately get  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{p,1}) \cong TD_{\gamma}(P_{p+1}) \cong TD_{\gamma}(C_{p,1})$  by Theorems 2 - 5. For q = 2, we determine  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{p,q})$  and  $TD_{\gamma}(C_{p,q})$  in Theorem 12 (below) by the following discussions.

If p = 4k + 2 for some  $k \ge 0$ , then we can verify that  $\{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k - 1\} \cup \{v_{4k+2}, u_1\}$  is the only  $\gamma_t(U_{p,q})$ -set and the only  $\gamma_t(C_{p,q})$ -set, so  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k+2,q}) \cong P_1 \cong TD_{\gamma}(C_{4k+2,q})$ . Theorem 6 shows that  $\gamma_t(U_{p,q}) = \gamma_t(L_{p,q}) = \gamma_t(C_{p,q})$ . For p = 4k + 1, the similar proof of Lemma 5 provide that  $u_1$  is in every  $\gamma_t(U_{4k+1,q})$ -set. Observation 1 also give that  $u_1$  is in every  $\gamma_t(C_{4k+1,q})$ -set. Then we follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 8, so  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k+1,q}) \cong L_{k,q} \cong TD_{\gamma}(C_{4k+1,q})$ .

If  $q \in \{2,3\}$ , then  $U_{4k,q} \cong L_{4k,q}$ , so by Theorem 10,  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,q}) \cong JL_{k-1,q}^{k+1}$ . We observe that every  $\gamma_t(U_{4k,q})$ -set is a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k,q})$ -set, but the converse is not necessarily

true. From the proof of Theorem 10, we know that  $D^{i,j} = \{v_{4l+2}, v_{4l+3} : 0 \leq l \leq k-1\} \cup \{u_i, u_j\}$  is a  $\gamma_t(L_{4k,q})$ -set for  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ . If q = 4, then  $D^{2,4}$  is the only  $\gamma_t(L_{4k,4})$ -set that is not a  $\gamma_t(U_{4k,4})$ -set, and thus  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,4}) \cong TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,4}) - \{D^{2,4}\}$ . Similarly, for q = 5,  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,5}) \cong TD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,5}) - \{D^{2,3}, D^{2,4}, D^{2,5}, D^{3,5}, D^{4,5}\}$ . Note that  $u_1$  is in every  $\gamma_t(U_{4k,q})$ -set for all  $q \geq 6$  and in every  $\gamma_t(C_{4k,q})$ -set for all  $q \geq 2$ , so  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,q}) \cong L_{k-1,q}^{k+1}$  for all  $q \geq 2$  by following the first two paragraphs in the proof of Theorem 10.

Similar to Lemma 6, we can easily prove that each  $\gamma_t(U_{4k-1,q})$ -set (and  $\gamma_t(C_{4k-1,q})$ -set) does not contain  $u_i$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Then we follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 11, so  $TD_{\gamma}(U_{4k-1,q}) \cong P_k \cong TD_{\gamma}(C_{4k-1,q})$ .

**Theorem 12.** Let p and q be positive integers. Then

$$TD_{\gamma}(U_{p,q}) \cong \begin{cases} P_1 & \text{if } p = 4k+2, q \ge 2; \\ L_{k,q} & \text{if } p = 4k+1, q \ge 2; \\ L_{k-1,q}^{k+1} & \text{if } p = 4k, q \ge 6; \\ P_k & \text{if } p = 4k-1, q \ge 2; \end{cases}$$

and

$$TD_{\gamma}(C_{p,q}) \cong \begin{cases} P_1 & \text{if } p = 4k+2, q \ge 2; \\ L_{k,q} & \text{if } p = 4k+1, q \ge 2; \\ L_{k-1,q}^{k+1} & \text{if } p = 4k, q \ge 2; \\ P_k & \text{if } p = 4k-1, q \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editors for their valuable comments and suggestions. This research was supported by a Ph.D. scholarship from Thammasat University, 1/2018.

**Conflict of interest.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Data Availability.** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

#### References

- S. Alikhani, D. Fatehi, and C.M. Mynhardt, On k-total dominating graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 73 (2019), no. 2, 313—333.
- [2] A. Bień, Gamma graphs of some special classes of trees, Ann. Math. Sil. 29 (2015), no. 1, 25—34. https://doi.org/10.1515/amsil-2015-0003.

- [3] E.J. Cockayne, R.M. Dawes, and S.T. Hedetniemi, *Total domination in graphs*, Networks **10** (1998), no. 3, 211–219. https://doi.org/10.1002/net.3230100304.
- [4] E. Connelly, K.R. Hutson, S.T. Hedetniemi, and T.W. Haynes, A note on γgraphs, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb. 8 (2011), no. 1, 23–31.
- [5] P. Eakawinrujee and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-paired dominating graphs of cycles, Opusc. Math. 42 (2022), no. 1, 31–54. https://doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2022.42.1.31.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, γ-paired dominating graphs of paths, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 17 (2022), no. 2, 739–752.
- [7] <u>\_\_\_\_\_</u>, γ-paired dominating graphs of lollipop, umbrella and coconut graphs, Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. **11** (2023), no. 1, 65–79. http://dx.doi.org/10.5614/ejgta.2023.11.1.6.
- [8] D. Fatehi, S. Alikhani, and A.J.M. Khalaf, The k-independent graph of a graph, Adv. Appl. Discrete Math. 18 (2017), no. 1, 45–56. http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/DM018010045.
- [9] G. Fricke, S. Hedetniemi, S. Hedetniemi, and K. Hutson, γ-graphs of graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **31** (2011), no. 3, 517–531. https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.1562.
- [10] R. Haas and K. Seyffarth, The k-dominating graph, Graphs Combin. 30 (2014), 609–617.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-013-1302-3.

- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Reconfiguring dominating sets in some well-covered and other classes of graphs, Discrete Math. 340 (2017), no. 8, 1802–1817. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2017.03.007.
- [12] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater, *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [14] M.A. Henning, Graphs with large total domination number, J. Graph Theory 35 (2000), no. 1, 21–45.
- [15] S.A. Lakshmanan, A. Vijayakumar, and S. Arumugam, The gamma graph of a graph, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb. 7 (2010), no. 1, 53–59.
- [16] C.M. Mynhardt, A. Roux, and L.E. Teshima, *Connected k-dominating graphs*, Discrete Math. **342** (2019), no. 1, 145–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2018.09.006.
- [17] C.M. Mynhardt and L.E. Teshima, A note on some variations of the γ-graph, J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput. 104 (2018), 217—-230.
- [18] R. Samanmoo, N. Trakultraipruk, and N. Ananchuen, γ-independent dominating graphs of paths and cycles, Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol. 13 (2019), no. 3, 245–256.
- [19] S. Sanguanpong and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-induced-paired dominating graphs of paths and cycles, Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl. 14 (2022), no. 8, Article ID: 2250047.

https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830922500471.

- [20] N. Sridharan, S. Amutha, and S.B. Rao, Induced subgraphs of gamma graphs, Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl. 5 (2013), no. 03, Article ID: 1350012. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830913500122.
- [21] N. Sridharan and K. Subramanian, Trees and unicyclic graphs are γ-graphs, J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput. 69 (2009), 231–236.
- [22] K. Subramanian and N. Sridharan, γ-graph of a graph, Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc. 5 (2008), no. 1, 17–34.
- [23] A. Suzuki, A.E. Mouawad, and N. Nishimura, *Reconfiguration of dominating sets*,
  J. Comb. Optim. **32** (2016), no. 4, 1182–1195. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10878-015-9947-x.
- [24] A. Wongsriya and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-total dominating graphs of paths and cycles, Sci. Asia. 43 (2017), no. 5, 326–333. https://doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2017.43.326.