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#### Abstract

Based on the geometric background of Sombor index and motivating by the higher order connectivity index and the Sombor index, we introduce the pathcoordinate of a path in a graph and a degree-point in a higher dimensional coordinate system, and define the higher order Sombor index of a graph. We first consider mathematical properties of the higher order Sombor index, show that the higher order connectivity index of a starlike tree is completely determined by its branches and that starlike trees with a given maximum degree which have the same higher order Sombor indices are isomorphic. Then, we determine the extremal values of the second order Sombor index for all trees with $n$ vertices and characterize the corresponding extremal trees. Finally, the chemical importance of the second order Sombor index is investigated and it is shown that the new index is useful in predicting physicochemical properties with high accuracy compared to some well-established indices.
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## 1. Introduction

A topological index is a numeric quantity that is mathematically derived in a direct and unambiguous manner from the structural graph of a molecule. Since isomorphic graphs possess identical values for any given topological index, these indices are referred to as graph invariants. Topological indices usually reflect both molecular size and shape. Many topological indices have been developed through the years and correlated with many physical and chemical properties of organic molecules.

[^0]In 1975, Randić [19] introduced the connectivity index (now called also Randić index) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1} \chi(G)=\sum_{u v} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(u) d(v)}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u v$ runs over all edges of a graph $G$ and $d(u)$ denotes the degree of vertex $u$. This index has been successfully related branching in molecular species and become one of the most widely used in applications to physical and chemical properties.
For an integer $h \geq 0$, the connectivity index of order $h$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{h} \chi(G)=\sum_{u_{1} \ldots u_{h+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d\left(u_{1}\right) \ldots d\left(u_{h+1}\right)}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1} \ldots u_{h+1}$ runs over all paths of length $h$ in $G$.
The higher order connectivity indices are of great interest in the theory of molecular graph theory ( $[15,23]$ ) and some of its mathematical properties have been reported in $[3,17]$.
In 2021, Gutman [9] introduced the degree-coordinate $(d(u), d(v))$ of an edge $u v$ of a graph $G$ and a degree-point in a two-dimensional coordinate system, and defined the Sombor index of $G$ as the summation of all distances between the degree-points of the edges and the origin over all edges of the graph $G$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S O(G)=\sum_{u v} \sqrt{d(u)^{2}+d(v)^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u v$ runs over all edges of a graph $G$. The Sombor index was actually conceived by using the above geometric considerations and attracted much attention, and its numerous mathematical and chemical applications have been established $[1,2,4-8,10,12-14,16,18,20-22]$. Geometry-based reasonings reveal the geometric background of several classical topological indices and lead to a series of new SO-like degree-based graph invariants [11].

Motivating by the higher order connectivity index and the Sombor index, we introduce the path-coordinate $\left(d\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, d\left(u_{h+1}\right)\right)$ of a path $u_{1} \ldots u_{h+1}$ with length $h$ in a graph $G$ and a degree-point in a $(h+1)$-dimensional coordinate system, and defined the Sombor index of order $h$ (for short, $h$-order Sombor index) as the summation of the distances between the degree-points and the origin in a $(h+1)$-dimensional coordinate system over all paths with length $h$ in $G$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{h} S O(G)=\sum_{u_{1} \ldots u_{h+1}} \sqrt{d\left(u_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+d\left(u_{h+1}\right)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1} \ldots u_{h+1}$ runs over all paths of length $h$ in $G$. Specifically, ${ }^{0} S O(G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u)=2|E(G)|$ and the 1-order Sombor index ${ }^{1} S O(G)=$ $\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{d(u)^{2}+d(v)^{2}}=S O(G)$ is just the Sombor index of $G$.
In this paper, we first consider mathematical properties of the higher order Sombor indices, show that for every integer $h \geq 0$, the higher order Sombor index ${ }^{h} S O(T)$ of a starlike tree $T$ is completely determined by its branches of length at most $h$,
and that starlike trees which have equal $h$-order Sombor index for all $h \geq 0$ are isomorphic. Then, we determine the extremal values of the second order Sombor index for all trees with $n$ vertices and characterize the corresponding extremal trees. Finally, the chemical importance of the second order Sombor index is investigated and it is shown that the new index is useful in predicting physicochemical properties with high accuracy compared to some well-established.

## 2. Higher order Sombor index of starlike trees

In this section, we first determine the higher order Sombor indices of starlike trees. Let $T$ be a tree with the maximal degree $\Delta(T)$ and by $k_{i}(T)$ the number of vertices with degree $i$. For an integer $m>2$, a starlike tree $T$ is defined as a tree for which $k_{1}(T)=\Delta(T)=m$. The set of all starlike trees on $n$ vertices is denoted by $\Omega_{n}$ and the set of all starlike trees on $n$ vertices in which there is a vertex of degree $m>2$ is denoted by $\Omega_{n, m}$.
For any two vertices $u, v$ in a tree $T$, we denote by $[u, v]$ the shortest path connecting $u$ and $v$ and the number of edges in $[u, v]$ is the distance in $T$ between $u$ and $v$, denoted by $d(u, v)$.
If $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}$ is the set of vertices with degree 1 and $v_{0}$ is the vertex with degree $m>2$ in $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$ (see Figure 1), an $l$-branch of $T$ is a subtree $\left[v_{0}, v_{i}\right]$ in $T$ such that $d\left(v_{0}, v_{i}\right)=l$. We denote by $S_{l}(T)$ the number of $l$-branches in $T$. Then we have the following "reduction formulas":

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}+S_{2}+\cdots+S_{t}=m \\
S_{1}+2 S_{2}+\cdots+t S_{t}=n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $t$ represents the length of the largest branch in $T$ (see Figure 2).



Figure 2. The branches with various lengths

In the following, we will show that the $h$-order Sombor indices of trees in $\Omega_{n, m}$ are completely determined by the values of $h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h}$.
Let $\mathcal{P}_{h}$ denote the set of all paths of $T$ with length $h, \mathbb{N}=\{0,1, \cdots\}$ the set of natural numbers and the function $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{h+1}$ by $\varphi\left(v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{h+1}\right)=$
$\left(d\left(v_{1}\right), d\left(v_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(v_{h+1}\right)\right)$. Let $v_{0}$ be the vertex with degree $m$ of $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$. First, we consider all paths in $\mathcal{P}_{h}$ not containing $v_{0}$ or $v_{0}$ is an end vertex. There are only four possibilities $(h+1)$-dimensional vectors for the images under $\varphi$ of these paths:

$$
X_{1}=(1, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h-1}, m), \quad X_{2}=(\underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h}, m), \quad X_{3}=(\underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h+1}), \quad X_{4}=(1, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h}) .
$$

Next, we consider all paths in $\mathcal{P}_{h}$ containing $v_{0}$ but not as an end vertex of the path. In this case the image of $\varphi$ maybe as follows:
$Y_{1}(t)=(1, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{t}, m, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h-t-1})$, where $0 \leq t \leq h-2$;
$Y_{2}(t)=(1, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{t}, m, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h-t-2}, 1)$, where $0 \leq t \leq\left\lfloor\frac{h}{2}\right\rfloor-1$;
$Y_{3}(t)=(\underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{t}, m, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{h-t})$, where $1 \leq t \leq\left\lfloor\frac{h}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Theorem 1. Let $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$. Then

$$
{ }^{h} S O(T)=\lambda\left(h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}\right)+\mu(h, m) S_{h}
$$

where $\lambda\left(h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}\right)$ is a real number determined by the values of $h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}$ and $\mu(h, m)=\sqrt{m^{2}+4 h-3}-\sqrt{m^{2}+4 h}+\sqrt{4 h+4}-\sqrt{4 h+1}>0$ is $a$ real number determined by $h$ and $m$.

Proof. By the definition of $h$-order Sombor index, ${ }^{h} S O(T)$ is determined by $\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{i}(t)\right)\right|$ which represent the numbers of elements in the inverse image of $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ under $\varphi$, respectively. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{h} S O(T)= & \left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{1}\right)\right| \sqrt{m^{2}+4 h-3}+\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{2}\right)\right| \sqrt{m^{2}+4 h}+\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{3}\right)\right| \sqrt{4 h+4} \\
& +\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{4}\right)\right| \sqrt{4 h+1}+\sum_{t=0}^{h-2}\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{1}(t)\right)\right| \sqrt{m^{2}+4 h-3} \\
& +\sum_{t=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{h}{2}\right\rfloor-1}\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{2}(t)\right)\right| \sqrt{m^{2}+4 h-6}+\sum_{t=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{h}{2}\right\rfloor}\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{3}(t)\right)\right| \sqrt{m^{2}+4 h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can express $\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{i}(t)\right)\right|$ in terms of $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h}$ by counting argument together with the reduction formulas:
$\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{1}\right)\right|=S_{h}$;
$\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{2}\right)\right|=S_{h+1}+\cdots+S_{t}=m-\sum_{i=1}^{h} S_{i} ;$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{3}\right)\right| & =S_{h+2}+2 S_{h+3}+3 S_{h+4}+\cdots+(t-h-1) S_{t} \\
& =-(h+1)\left(m-\sum_{i=1}^{h} S_{i}\right)+n-1-\sum_{i=1}^{h} i S_{i} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{4}\right)\right|=m-\sum_{i=1}^{h} S_{i} ;$
$\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{1}(t)\right)\right|= \begin{cases}S_{t+1}\left(m-\sum_{i=1}^{h-t-1} S_{i}\right), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{h}{2}-1 \text { and } h \text { is even, } \\ S_{t+1}\left(m-1-\sum_{i=1}^{h-t-1} S_{i}\right), & \frac{h}{2} \leq t \leq h-2 \text { and } h \text { is even, } \\ S_{t+1}\left(m-\sum_{i=1}^{h-t-1} S_{i}\right), & 0 \leq t<\frac{h-1}{2} \text { and } h \text { is odd, } \\ S_{t+1}\left(m-1-\sum_{i=1}^{h-t-1} S_{i}\right), & \frac{h-1}{2} \leq t \leq h-2 \text { and } h \text { is odd; }\end{cases}$
$\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{2}(t)\right)\right|= \begin{cases}S_{t+1} S_{h-t-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{h}{2}-2 \text { and } h \text { is even, } \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(S_{t+1}-1\right) S_{t+1}, & t=\frac{h}{2}-1 \text { and } h \text { is even, } \\ S_{t+1} S_{h-t-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{h-1}{2}-1 \text { and } h \text { is odd; }\end{cases}$
$\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{3}(t)\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\left(m-\sum_{i=1}^{h-t} S_{i}\right)\left(m-1-\sum_{i=1}^{t} S_{i}\right), & 1 \leq t \leq \frac{h}{2}-1 \text { and } h \text { is even, } \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(m-\sum_{i=1}^{t} S_{i}\right)\left(m-1-\sum_{i=1}^{t} S_{i}\right), & t=\frac{h}{2} \text { and } h \text { is even, } \\ \left(m-\sum_{i=1}^{h-t} S_{i}\right)\left(m-1-\sum_{i=1}^{t} S_{i}\right), & 1 \leq t \leq \frac{h-1}{2} \text { and } h \text { is odd. }\end{cases}$
It can be seen from above that for all $t,\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(Y_{i}(t)\right)\right|(i=1,2,3)$ depends on the numbers $h, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}$ while $\left|\varphi^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)\right|(i=1,2,3,4)$ depends on the numbers $h, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h}$. By grouping appropriately, we obtain

$$
{ }^{h} S O(T)=\lambda\left(h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}\right)+\mu(h, m) S_{h}
$$

where $\lambda\left(h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}\right)$ is a real number determined by the values of $h, n, m, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{h-1}$ and $\mu(h, m)=\sqrt{m^{2}+4 h-3}-\sqrt{m^{2}+4 h}+\sqrt{4 h+4}-\sqrt{4 h+1}>0$ is a real number determined by $h$ and $m$.

As $\lambda\left(h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}\right)$ is determined by $h, n, m, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{h-1}$ and $\mu(h, m)>0$ is determined by $h$ and $m$, we can get from Theorem 1 .

Corollary 1. Let $T, T^{\prime} \in \Omega_{n, m}$. If $S_{i}(T)=S_{i}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $i=1, \cdots, h-1$, then ${ }^{h-1} S O(T)={ }^{h-1} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, ${ }^{h} S O(T)>{ }^{h} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow S_{h}(T)>S_{h}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.

Also, Theorem 1 shows that for a $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$, only the $l$-branches $(l \leq h)$ in $T$ contribute to ${ }^{h} S O(T)$. This fact is crucial in the following result, which shows that a starlike tree with a given maximum degree is completely determined by its higherorder Sombor indices.

Corollary 2. Let $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$ and $T^{\prime} \in \Omega_{n^{\prime}, m}$. Then $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if and only if ${ }^{h} S O(T)={ }^{h} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $h \geq 0$.

Proof. If $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, then it is clear that ${ }^{h} S O(T)={ }^{h} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $h \geq 0$.
Conversely, assume that $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$ and $T^{\prime} \in \Omega_{n^{\prime}, m}$. Since ${ }^{0} S O(T)=2|E(T)|=$ ${ }^{0} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)=2\left|E\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right|, n=n^{\prime}$. Now, applying Theorem 1 for $h=1$, we get $\lambda(1, n, m)+$
$\mu(1, m) S_{1}(T)=\lambda(1, n, m)+\mu(1, m) S_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. And $S_{1}(T)=S_{1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for $\mu(1, m) \neq 0$. Assume that $S_{i}(T)=S_{i}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $i=1, \cdots, h-1$, by Theorem 1 again, we can conclude $S_{h}(T)=S_{h}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. By induction, we have that $S_{i}(T)=S_{i}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $i \geq 1$. So, $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are isomorphic.

We guess that all starlike tress are completely characterized by their higher-order Sombor indices.

Conjecture 2. Let $T, T^{\prime}$ be starlike trees. Then $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if and only if ${ }^{h} S O(T)={ }^{h} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $h \geq 0$.

## 3. The extremal values of second order Sombor indices for trees

In this section, we consider the second order Sombor index of trees.
If $P=u u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k}$ is a path of length $k$ in a graph $G$ such that $d(u) \geq 3, d\left(u_{k}\right)=1$ and $d\left(u_{i}\right)=2$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, k-1$, then $P$ is called a pendent path of $G, u$ and $k$ are the origin and the length of $P$, respectively.


Figure 3. path-lifting transformation

Lemma 1. (Path-lifting transformation) Let $P=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{k}$ be an induced path in a graph $G$, where $d\left(v_{1}\right), d\left(v_{k}\right) \geq 2$ and $d\left(v_{i}\right)=2, i=2, \cdots, k-1 . G^{\prime}=G-\left\{v_{k} w: w \in\right.$ $\left.N\left(v_{k}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{k-1}\right\}\right\}+\left\{v_{1} w: w \in N\left(v_{k}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{k-1}\right\}\right\}$ (see Figure 3), then ${ }^{2} S O(G)<{ }^{2} S O\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, w_{1}, \ldots w_{s}\right\}, N_{G}\left(v_{k}\right)=\left\{v_{k-1}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\}, s, t \geq 1$; $N_{G}\left(w_{i}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, w_{i 1}, \ldots, w_{i k_{i}}\right\}, N_{G^{\prime}}\left(w_{i}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, w_{i 1}, \ldots, w_{i k_{i}}\right\}$, where $i=1, \ldots, s$, $k_{i} \geq 0 ; N_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)=\left\{v_{k}, u_{j 1}, \ldots, u_{j g_{j}}\right\}, N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, u_{j 1}, \ldots, u_{j g_{j}}\right\}$, where $j=1, \ldots, t, g_{j} \geq 0 ; N_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, w_{1}, \ldots w_{s}, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{t}\right\}$. Only the degrees of $v_{1}$ and $v_{k}$ have changed in the transformation. Consider the paths of length 2 in $G$ which contain $v_{1}$ or $v_{k}$, there are the following possibilities:
$A_{i}=\left\{w_{i_{j}} w_{i} v_{1} \mid 0 \leq j \leq k_{i}\right\}, A=A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{s} ;$
$B_{i}=\left\{u_{i_{j}} u_{i} v_{k} \mid 0 \leq j \leq g_{i}\right\}, B=B_{1} \cup \cdots \cup B_{t} ;$
$C=\left\{w_{i} v_{1} w_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq s\right\}, D=\left\{u_{i} v_{k} u_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq t\right\} ;$
$M=\left\{w_{i} v_{1} v_{2}, u_{j} v_{k} v_{k-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t\right\} ;$
$L=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left\{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}, v_{k-2} v_{k-1} v_{k}\right\}, & k \geq 3 \\ \emptyset, & k=2\end{array}\right.$.
Also, there are only the following possibilities for the paths of length 2 containing $v_{1}$ or $v_{k}$ in $G^{\prime}$ :
$A_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{w_{i_{j}} w_{i} v_{1} \mid 0 \leq j \leq k_{i}\right\}, A^{\prime}=A_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup A_{s}^{\prime} ;$
$B_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{u_{i_{j}} u_{i} v_{1} \mid 0 \leq j \leq g_{i}\right\}, B^{\prime}=B_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup B_{t}^{\prime} ;$
$C^{\prime}=\left\{w_{i} v_{1} w_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq s\right\}, D^{\prime}=\left\{u_{i} v_{1} u_{j} \mid 1 \leq i<j \leq t\right\} ;$
$M^{\prime}=\left\{w_{i} v_{1} u_{j}, w_{i} v_{1} v_{2}, u_{j} v_{1} v_{2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t\right\} ;$
$L^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left\{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}, v_{k} v_{k-1} v_{k-2}\right\} & k \geq 3 \\ \emptyset, & k=2\end{cases}$
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} S O\left(G^{\prime}\right)-{ }^{2} S O(G) \\
& =\sum_{x y z \in A^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in A} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z \in B^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in B} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z \in C^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in C} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z \in D^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in D} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z \in M^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in M} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z \in L^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in L} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& =S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}+S_{5}+S_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =\sum_{x y z \in A^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in A} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{i}}\left(\sqrt{d\left(w_{i j}\right)^{2}+d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+t+1)^{2}}-\sqrt{d\left(w_{i j}\right)^{2}+d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+1)^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq 0 \\
& S_{2}=\sum_{x y z \in B^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in B} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \geq 0 \\
S_{3}= & \sum_{x y z \in C^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in C} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{s}\left(\sqrt{d\left(w_{j}\right)^{2}+d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+t+1)^{2}}-\sqrt{d\left(w_{j}\right)^{2}+d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+1)^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{4}=\sum_{x y z \in D^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in D} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \geq 0 \\
& S_{5}= \sum_{x y z \in M^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in M} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\sqrt{(s+t+1)^{2}+d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{2}\right)^{2}}-\sqrt{(s+1)^{2}+d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{t}\left(\sqrt{(s+t+1)^{2}+d\left(u_{j}\right)^{2}+d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{2}\right)^{2}}-\sqrt{(t+1)^{2}++d\left(u_{j}\right)^{2}+d_{G}\left(v_{k-1}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sqrt{d\left(w_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+t+1)^{2}+d\left(u_{j}\right)^{2}} \\
&>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $S_{6}=0$ when $k=2, S_{6}=\sqrt{(s+t+1)^{2}+5}-\sqrt{(t+1)^{2}+(s+1)^{2}+4}>0$ when $k=3, S_{6}=\sqrt{(s+t+1)^{2}+8}+3-\sqrt{(t+1)^{2}+8}-\sqrt{(s+1)^{2}+8}>0$ when $k>3$. So, we have ${ }^{2} S O(G)<{ }^{2} S O\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.


Figure 4. sliding transformation

The sliding transformation in the following is a special case of the path-lifting transformation (Lemma 1) in a reverse direction.

Lemma 2. (Sliding transformation) Let $P=u x_{1} \ldots x_{p}$ and $Q=u y_{1} \ldots y_{q}$ be two pendant paths with origins $u$, where $d(u)>2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q, G^{\prime}=G-\left\{u x_{1}\right\}+\left\{x_{1} y_{q}\right\}$ (see Figure 4). Then ${ }^{2} S O\left(G^{\prime}\right)<{ }^{2} S O(G)$.

Proof. It can be directly obtained from Lemma 1 by lifting the path $P=u y_{1} \cdots y_{q}$ in $G^{\prime}$.

The following result shows that the tree with the minimum 2-order Sombor index is $P_{n}$ and the tree with the maximum 2-order Sombor index is $S_{n}$ among all trees with $n$ vertices.

Theorem 3. Let $T$ be a tree with $n \geq 4$ vertices, then

$$
6+2(n-4) \sqrt{3} \leq{ }^{2} S O(T) \leq\binom{ n-1}{2} \sqrt{2+(n-1)^{2}}
$$

with left equality if and only if $T \cong P_{n}$ and right equality if and only if $T \cong S_{n}$.

Proof. It is well known that any tree $T$ with $n$ vertices can be transformed into the star $S_{n}$ by repeated use of the path-lifting transformation (Lemma 1), we have

$$
{ }^{2} S O\left(T_{n}\right) \leq{ }^{2} S O\left(S_{n}\right)=\binom{n-1}{2} \sqrt{2+(n-1)^{2}}
$$

with equality if and only if $T \cong S_{n}$.
Similarly, a tree $T$ with $n$ vertices can be transformed into the path $P_{n}$ by using sliding-transformation in Lemma 2, we have

$$
{ }^{2} S O\left(T_{n}\right) \geq{ }^{2} S O\left(P_{n}\right)=6+2(n-4) \sqrt{3}
$$

with equality if and only if $T \cong P_{n}$.
Next, we discuss the extremal values of 2 -order Sombor index for all trees with $n$ vertices and the maximum degree $\Delta$.
Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{n}^{\Delta}$ the set of all trees with $n$ vertices and the maximum degree $\Delta$.
Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{\Delta}$. Using path-lifting transformation repeatedly on the outermost branch vertices (keeping one vertex with the maximum degree) in $T$, we can get a starlike tree $T^{\prime}$. By Lemma $2,{ }^{2} S O(T) \geq{ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. So, the tree in $\mathcal{T}_{n}^{\Delta}$ with the minimum 2 -order Sombor index is a starlike tree in $\Omega_{n, m}$, where $m=\Delta$.
Now, we characterize the extremal tree with the minimum second order Sombor index in $\Omega_{n, m}$.

$T^{\prime}$

Figure 5. The graphs in Lemma 3

Lemma 3. Let $P=u x_{1} \ldots x_{p}$ and $Q=u y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{q}$ be two pendant paths with the origin $u$ in a tree $T$, where $p, q \geq 2$, and $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{x_{1} x_{2}\right\}+\left\{y_{q} x_{2}\right\}$, see Figure 5, then ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)<{ }^{2} S O(T)$.

Proof. Let $N_{G}(u)=\left\{x_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}\right\}$, where $u_{s}=y_{1}$ and $s \geq 2$. From $T$ to $T^{\prime}$, only the degrees of $x_{1}$ and $y_{q}$ have changed. Consider the paths of length 2 in $T$ containing $x_{1}$ or $y_{q}$ :
$A=\left\{u_{i} u x_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$,
$B= \begin{cases}\left\{u x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, y_{q-2} y_{q-1} y_{q}\right\}, & p \geq 3, q \geq 3 \\ \left\{u x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, u y_{1} y_{2}\right\}, & p \geq 3, q=2 \\ \left\{u x_{1} x_{2}, y_{q-2} y_{q-1} y_{q}\right\}, & p=2, q \geq 3 \\ \left\{u x_{1} x_{2}, u y_{1} y_{2}\right\}, & p=q=2\end{cases}$
Also, the paths of length 2 in $T^{\prime}$ containing $x_{1}$ or $y_{q}: A^{\prime}=\left\{u_{i} u x_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$, $B^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left\{y_{q-2} y_{q-1} y_{q}, y_{q-1} y_{q} x_{2}, y_{q} x_{2} x_{3}\right\}, & p \geq 3, q \geq 3 \\ \left\{u y_{1} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2} x_{2}, y_{2} x_{2} x_{3}\right\}, & p \geq 3, q=2 \\ \left\{y_{q-2} y_{q-1} y_{q}, y_{q-1} y_{q} x_{2}\right\}, & p=2, q \geq 3 \\ \left\{u y_{1} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2} x_{2}\right\}, & p=q=2\end{cases}$
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} S O(T)-{ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{x y z \in A} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in A^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{x y z \in B} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in B^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& =S_{1}+S_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =\sum_{x y z \in A} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in A^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\sqrt{d\left(u_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+1)^{2}+4}-\sqrt{d\left(u_{i}\right)^{2}+(s+1)^{2}+1}\right) \\
& >0 \\
S_{2} & =\sum_{x y z \in B} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}}-\sum_{x y z \in B^{\prime}} \sqrt{d(x)^{2}+d(y)^{2}+d(z)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $p \geq 3, q \geq 3, S_{2}=\sqrt{(s+1)^{2}+8}+3-4 \sqrt{3}>0$;
When $p \geq 3, q=2, S_{2}=\sqrt{(s+1)^{2}+5}-2 \sqrt{3}>0$;
When $p=2, q \geq 3, S_{2}=\sqrt{(s+1)^{2}+5}-2 \sqrt{3}>0$;
When $p=q=2$,

$$
S_{2}=\sqrt{(s+1)^{2}+5}+\sqrt{(t+1)^{2}+5}-\sqrt{(t+1)^{2}+8}-3>0 .
$$

In conclusion, ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)<{ }^{2} S O(T)$.
By $S\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$, we denote the starlike tree with the center $u$ of degree $m>2$ such that

$$
S\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)-u=P_{n_{1}} \cup P_{n_{2}} \cdots \cup P_{n_{m}}
$$

where $1 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \cdots \leq n_{m}$.

Theorem 4. Let $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$, where $n-m \geq 3$, then

$$
{ }^{2} S O(T) \geq \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} \sqrt{2+m^{2}}+(m-1) \sqrt{5+m^{2}}+\sqrt{m^{2}+8}+2(n-m-3) \sqrt{3}+3
$$

with equality if an only if $T \cong S(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m-1}, n-m)$.

Proof. Let $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$ be a tree with the minimum 2-order Sombor index. If $T \neq$ $S(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{m-1}, n-m)$, then there are two pendant paths $P=v x_{1} \cdots x_{p}$ and $Q=v y_{1} \cdots y_{q}$ in $T$ with length at least 2 , where $d(v)=m$ and $p, q \geq 2$. Let $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{x_{1} x_{2}\right\}+\left\{y_{q} x_{2}\right\}$. Then $T^{\prime} \in \Omega_{n, m}$ and ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)<{ }^{2} S O(T)$ from Lemma 3, a contradiction.

As we know from above, the tree in $\mathcal{T}_{n}^{\Delta}$ with the minimum 2-order Sombor index is a starlike tree in $\Omega_{n, \Delta}$. By Theorem 4, we have

Corollary 3. Let $T \in T_{n}^{\Delta}$, then

$$
{ }^{2} S O(T) \geq{ }^{2} S O(S(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\Delta-1}, n-\Delta))
$$

with equality if an only if $T \cong S(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\Delta-1}, n-\Delta)$.

Further, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(m) & ={ }^{2} S O(S(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{m-1}, n-m)) \\
& =\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} \sqrt{2+m^{2}}+(m-1) \sqrt{5+m^{2}}+\sqrt{m^{2}+8}+2(n-m-3) \sqrt{3}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2 \leq m \leq n-1$. It is easy to prove that the minimum value of $f(m)$ is $f(2)$. So, the tree with the minimum 2-order Sombor index in $\Omega_{n}$ is $P_{n}$. This is consistent with the result in Theorem 3.
In the following, we describe the extremal tree with the maximum 2-order Sombor index in $\Omega_{n, m}$.

Lemma 4. Let $P=u x_{1} \cdots x_{p}$ and $Q=u y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{q}$ be two pendant paths with origin $u$ in a tree $T$, where $p, q \geq 3$, and $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{x_{2} x_{3}\right\}+\left\{y_{q} x_{3}\right\}$, see Figure 6. Then ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)>{ }^{2} S O(T)$.

Proof. It can be seen that

$$
{ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)-{ }^{2} S O(T)=\sqrt{5+d(u)^{2}}+2 \sqrt{3}-\sqrt{8+d(u)^{2}}-3>0 .
$$

Thus the conclusion is true.


Figure 6. Trees in Lemma 4

Theorem 5. If $n \geq 2 m+1$, then the tree with the maximum 2-order Sombor index in $\Omega_{n, m}$ is $S(\underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{m-1}, n+1-2 m)$. If $n<2 m+1$, then the tree with the maximum 2-order Sombor index in $\Omega_{n, m}$ is $S(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{2 m+1-n}, \underbrace{2, \cdots, 2}_{n-1-m})$.

Proof. Let $T \in \Omega_{n, m}$ be a tree with the maximum 2-order Sombor index and $v$ the center with degree $m$ in $T$.
When $n \geq 2 m+1, T$ has a pendant path $P=v y_{1} \cdots y_{q}$ with length at least 3 if $S_{1}(T) \neq 0$, where $q \geq 3$. Let $T^{\prime}=T-\left\{y_{q-1} y_{q}\right\}+\left\{x y_{q}\right\}$, we have ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime}\right)>{ }^{2} S O(T)$ from Lemma 3, a contradiction. So, $S_{1}(T)=0$. If $S_{2}(T) \leq m-1$, then $T$ has two pendent paths $P=v x_{1} \cdots v_{p}$ and $Q=v y_{1} \cdots y_{q}$ with length at least 3 , where $p, q \geq 3$. Let $T^{\prime \prime}=T-\left\{x_{2} x_{3}\right\}+\left\{y_{q} x_{3}\right\}$, we have ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)>{ }^{2} S O(T)$ from Lemma 4, a contradiction. So, $T \cong S(\underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m-1}, n+1-2 m)$.
When $n<2 m+1, T$ must have a pendant edge $v x$. If $T$ has a pendant path $P=v x_{1} \cdots x_{p}$ with length at least 3 , let $T^{\prime \prime \prime}=T-\left\{x_{p-1} x_{p}\right\}+\left\{x x_{p}\right\}$, then we have ${ }^{2} S O\left(T^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)>{ }^{2} S O(T)$ by Lemma 3, a contradiction. So, $T \cong S(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{2 m+1-n}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n-1-m})$ and the proof is completed.

## 4. The chemical application of second order Somber index

In this section, the chemical applicability of the second order Somber index is studied. Usually, octane isomers are helpful for such investigation, since they represent a sufficiently large and structurally diverse group of alkane for the preliminary testing of indices. In order to investigate predictive capability of the second order Sombor index, we establish the applicability of the second order Sombor index for octane isomer, and develop models for predicting the entropy $(S)$ and AcenFac of octane isomers were developed. The experimental date used for the construction of the models was collected from http://www.moleculardescriptors.eu/dataset/dataset.htm and they are presented in Table 4 (columns 2-3).

The initial step for developing a linear model for predicting the AcenFac of molecules was to correlate the calculated values of the second order Sombor index and the Sombor index (column 3-4 of Table 4) with the experimental date of octane isomers. With this, it was checked whether the second order Sombor index and the Sombor index contain information that may be used to model AcenFac. The AcenFac values are plotted in Figure 7, which shows a reasonable correlation between the second order Sombor index and AcenFac. Such a correlation indicates that a linear model for predicting the AcenFac of octane isomers could be developed using the second order Sombor index. The correlations between Sombor index and AcenFac are depicted in Figure 8. Since the second order Sombor index shows satisfactory correlation with


Figure 7. AcentFac and ${ }^{2} S O$


Figure 8. AcentFacd and SO
the AcenFac of octane isomers, the correlation between second order Sombor index and the entropy $(S)$ of octane isomers was tested Table 1 (column 2). The correlation between the second order Sombor index and entropy $(S)$ is depicted in Figure 9. The scatter plot presented in Figure 9 shows that a linear dependence between the second order Sombor index and entropy. The correlations between Sombor index and is entropy $(S)$ depicted in Figure ??.
As all examined correlations show linear relationships between the second order Sombor index and these two physico-chemical properties, a linear model was constructed in (5).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { AcenFac, } S \approx A \times T I+B \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T I$ stands for the ${ }^{2} S O$ and $S O$, where $A$ and $B$ is the fitting coefficient. The values for the parameters are presented in Table 2.
Correlations of the second order Sombor index ${ }^{2} S O$ with AcenFac and entropy are shown in Table 3. The correlation coefficients of ${ }^{2} S O$ for octane isomers are 0.9849 and 0.9618 with AcenFac and entropy, stronger than that of the Sombor index $S O$. The index ${ }^{2} S O$ shows better predictive capability than the Sombor index for AcenFac and entropy. These results confirm the suitability of ${ }^{2} S O$ in QSPR analysis.


Figure 9. entropy and ${ }^{2} S O$


Figure 10. entropy and SO

Table 1. Experimental values of the entropy, acentric factor and the corresponding values of degree based topological indices of octane isomer

| Alkane | S | AcenFac | ${ }^{2} S O$ | SO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n-octane | 467.23 | 0.397898 | 19.8564 | 18.6143 |
| 2-methyl-heptane | 459.57 | 0.377916 | 24.8512 | 20.6515 |
| 3-methyl-heptane | 465.51 | 0.371002 | 25.9353 | 20.5024 |
| 4-methyl-heptane | 457.39 | 0.371504 | 25.8526 | 20.5024 |
| 3-ethyl-hexane | 457.86 | 0.362472 | 26.9757 | 20.3532 |
| 2,2-dimethyl-hexane | 432.71 | 0.339426 | 37.8387 | 24.7344 |
| 2,3-dimethyl-hexane | 451.96 | 0.348247 | 31.9485 | 22.3995 |
| 2,4-dimethyl-hexane | 447.60 | 0.344223 | 30.8383 | 22.5396 |
| 2,5-dimethyl-hexane | 442.33 | 0.35683 | 29.8461 | 22.6886 |
| 3,3-dimethyl-hexane | 438.23 | 0.322596 | 39.9535 | 24.4910 |
| 3,4-dimethyl-hexane | 445.97 | 0.340345 | 33.0653 | 22.2504 |
| 2-methyl-3-ethyl-pentane | 443.76 | 0.332433 | 33.0217 | 22.2504 |
| 3-methyl-3-ethyl-pentane | 424.59 | 0.304899 | 42.1924 | 24.2477 |
| 2,2,3-trimethyl-pentane | 423.88 | 0.300816 | 45.9925 | 26.3732 |
| 2,2,4-trimethyl-pentane | 435.51 | 0.30537 | 42.6608 | 26.7716 |
| 2,3,3-trimethyl-pentane | 427.02 | 0.293177 | 47.0822 | 26.2790 |
| 2,3,4-trimethyl-pentane | 428.40 | 0.317422 | 37.9928 | 24.2967 |
| 2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane | 389.36 | 0.255294 | 59.9232 | 30.3955 |
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Table 2. Correlation coefficient with and residual standard error of regression model

| parameter | TI | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AcenFac | ${ }^{2} S O$ | -0.003532 | 0.4605 |
|  | SO | -0.005857 | 0.6093 |
| entropy | ${ }^{2} S O$ | -1.839 | 506.1 |
|  | SO | -3.081 | 584.9 |

Table 3. Correlation coefficient with and residual standard error of regression model

| parameter | TI | Correlation coefficient(R) | RMSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AcenFac | ${ }^{2} S O$ | 0.9849 | 0.006319 |
|  | SO | 0.9594 | 0.0103 |
| entropy | ${ }^{2} S O$ | 0.9618 | 5.335 |
|  | SO | 0.9465 | 6.287 |
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