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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E), V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}, E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, be a simple graph of order $n \geq 2$ and size $m$ without isolated vertices. Denote with $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq$ $\cdots \geq \mu_{n-1}>\mu_{n}=0$ the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. The Kirchhoff index of a graph $G$, defined in terms of Laplacian eigenvalues, is given as $K f(G)=n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_{i}}$. Some new lower bounds on $K f(G)$ are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E), V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}, E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, be a simple graph of order $n \geq 2$ and size $m$ without isolated vertices. Denote by $\Delta=d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n}=\delta>$ $0, d_{i}=d\left(v_{i}\right)$, a sequence of vertex degrees given in nonincreasing order. If vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent in $G$, we denote it as $i \sim j$.
Let $N(i)$ be a set of all neighbours of the vertex $v_{i}$, i.e. $N(i)=\left\{v_{j} \mid v_{j} \in V, v_{i} \sim v_{j}\right\}$, and $d_{i j}$ the distance between the vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. Denote by $\Gamma_{d}$ a set of all $d$ regular graphs, $1 \leq d \leq n-1$, with diameter $D=2$ and $|N(i) \cap N(j)|=d, i \nsim j$, [15]. Denote by $A(G)$ and $D(G)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ the adjacency and the diagonal degree matrix of $G$, respectively. The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is defined as $L(G)=$ $D(G)-A(G)$. Eigenvalues of $L, \mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1}>\mu_{n}=0$, form the Laplacian spectrum of $G$.

[^0]The Wiener index, $W(G)$, originally termed as a "path index", is a topological graph index defined as [17]

$$
W(G)=\sum_{i<j} d_{i j},
$$

where $d_{i j}$ is the number of edges in the shortest path between the vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ in graph $G$.
By analogy with Wiener index, in [8] the Kirchhoff index was introduced. It is defined as

$$
K f(G)=\sum_{i<j} r_{i j},
$$

where $r_{i j}$ is the resistance distance between the vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ of $G$, i.e. $r_{i j}$ is equal to the resistance between two equivalent points on an associated electrical network, obtained by replacing each edge of $G$ by a unit (1 ohm) resistor. In [6, 19] it was observed that the Kirchhoff index can be obtained from the non-zero eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix, that is

$$
K f(G)=n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_{i}} .
$$

If $G \in \Gamma_{d}$, then [15]

$$
K f(G)=\frac{n(n-1)-d}{d} .
$$

The Kirchhoff index is investigated extensively in mathematical and chemical literatures $[1,3,4,9-13,18]$ In the present paper we consider lower bounds on $K f(G)$ as well as its relationship with some other topological indices.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some analytical inequalities and lower bound on $K f(G)$, reported in [18], that are of interest for the present paper.

Lemma 1. [14] Let $p=\left(p_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, be a sequence of non-negative real numbers, and $a=\left(a_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, sequence of positive real numbers. Then, for any real $r, r \leq 0$ or $r \geq 1$, holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right)^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}^{r} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)^{r} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $0 \leq r \leq 1$, then the opposite inequality is valid. Equality holds if and only if either $r=0$, or $r=1$, or $a_{1}=a_{2}=\cdots=a_{n}$, or $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{t}=0$ and $a_{t+1}=\cdots=a_{n}$, or $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{t}$ and $p_{t+1}=\cdots=p_{n}=0$, for some $t, 1 \leq t \leq n-1$.

The inequality (1), originally proved in [7], is known as Jensen's inequality. Let $a=\left(a_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers, and $p=\left(p_{i}\right)$ a sequence of positive real numbers. Denote by $I=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $I_{2}=\{1, n\}$ two index sets, and

$$
M_{1}(a, p ; I)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}} .
$$

In [5] the following result was proven.

Lemma 2. [5] Let $a=\left(a_{i}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, be sequences of similar monotonicity of non-negative real numbers, and $p=\left(p_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, a sequence of positive real numbers. If the pairs $\left(M_{1}\left(a, p ; I-I_{2}\right), M_{1}\left(a, p ; I_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}\left(b, p ; I-I_{2}\right), M_{1}\left(b, p ; I_{2}\right)\right)$ are similarly ordered, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} b_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} b_{i} \geq \frac{p_{1} p_{n}\left(a_{1}-a_{n}\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{n}\right)}{p_{1}+p_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $a_{2}=a_{3}=\cdots=a_{n-1}=\frac{a_{1}+a_{n}}{2}$, or $b_{2}=b_{3}=\cdots=b_{n-1}=$ $\frac{b_{1}+b_{n}}{2}$. If the pairs $\left(M_{1}\left(a, p ; I-I_{2}\right), M_{1}\left(a, p ; I_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}\left(b, p ; I-I_{2}\right), M_{1}\left(b, p ; I_{2}\right)\right)$ are oppositely ordered, then the sense of (2) reverses.

The inequality (2) is generalization of the inequality proven in [16]. On the other hand, it is a corollary of one more general result proven in [5].

Lemma 3. [2] Let $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n}>0$ be real numbers. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \geq n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{1 / n}+\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}-\sqrt{a_{n}}\right)^{2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if $a_{2}=\cdots=a_{n-1}=\sqrt{a_{1} a_{n}}$.

A lower bound for $\operatorname{Kf}(G)$ that depends on all vertex degrees of $G$ was determined in [18].

Lemma 4. [18] Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq-1+(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 1 \leq t \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.

## 3. Main results

In the next theorem we determine a relationship between the Kirchhoff index and the first Zagreb index, $M_{1}(G)$.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ and size $m$ with $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-2$, pendant vertices. If $G$ is a d-regular graph, $2 \leq d \leq n-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq \frac{n(n-1)-d}{d}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$. If $d_{i} \neq \Delta$ for at least one $i, 2 \leq i \leq n-p$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq(n-1)\left(p-\frac{1}{n-1}+\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(n-p+\frac{(n \Delta-2 m-p(\Delta-1))^{2}}{2 m \Delta-M_{1}(G)-p(\Delta-1)}\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 2 \leq t<\frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. Let $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-2$, be an integer. Then, for any real $r, r \leq 0$ or $r \geq 1$, the inequality (1) can be observed in a following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} p_{i}\right)^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} p_{i} a_{i}^{r} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} p_{i} a_{i}\right)^{r} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=2, p=0, p_{i}=\frac{1}{d_{i}}, a_{i}=d_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, from (7), that is (1), we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq \frac{n^{2}}{2 m}
$$

Now, from the above and (4) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq \frac{n^{2}(n-1)-2 m}{2 m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $G$ is $d$-regular, $2 \leq d \leq n-1$, from (8) and identity $2 m=n d$, we arrive at (5). In [15] (see also [12]) it was proven that equality in (5) holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
For $r=2, p_{i}=\frac{\Delta-d_{i}}{d_{i}}, a_{i}=d_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-p$, the inequality (7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{\Delta-d_{i}}{d_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\left(\Delta-d_{i}\right) d_{i} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\left(\Delta-d_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{\Delta-d_{i}}{d_{i}}=\Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}-n+p \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\left(\Delta-d_{i}\right) d_{i}=\Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} d_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} d_{i}^{2}=2 m \Delta-M_{1}(G)-p(\Delta-1), \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-p}\left(\Delta-d_{i}\right)=n \Delta-2 m-p(\Delta-1),
\end{aligned}
$$

from the above identities and (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}-n+p\right)\left(2 m \Delta-M_{1}(G)-p(\Delta-1)\right) \geq(n \Delta-2 m-p(\Delta-1))^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d_{i}=\Delta$, for every $i, i=1,2, \ldots, n-p$, then in (10) equality occurs. Therefore, without affecting the generality, assume that $d_{i} \neq \Delta$ for at least one $i, 2 \leq i \leq n-p$. In that case

$$
2 m \Delta-M_{1}(G)-p(\Delta-1)>0,
$$

and hence from (10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta}\left(n-p+\frac{(n \Delta-2 m-p(\Delta-1))^{2}}{2 m \Delta-M_{1}(G)-p(\Delta-1)}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $G$ has $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-2$, pendant vertices, the inequality (4) can be considered in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq-1+(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}}=(n-1)\left(p-\frac{1}{n-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from the above and inequality (11) we arrive at (6).
Equality in (12) holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 1 \leq t \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$. Since $d_{i} \neq \Delta$, for at least one $i, 2 \leq i \leq n-p(p \neq n-1)$, equality in (11) holds if $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 2 \leq t<\frac{n}{2}$. This implies that equality in (6) holds if $G \cong K_{t, n-t}$, $2 \leq t<\frac{n}{2}$.

Remark 1. The inequality (5) was proven in [15], whereas (8) in [12].
If the condition for pendant vertices is omitted, then similarly as in Theorem 1 , when $d_{i} \neq \Delta$, for at least one $i, 2 \leq i \leq n$, it was proven that [9]

$$
K f(G) \geq \frac{n(n-1)-\Delta}{\Delta}+\frac{(n-1)(n \Delta-2 m)^{2}}{\Delta\left(2 m \Delta-M_{1}(G)\right)},
$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 2 \leq t<\frac{n}{2}$.

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ and size $m$, with $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-1$, pendant vertices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq(n-1) p+\frac{(n-1)(n-p)^{2}-(2 m-p)}{2 m-p} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
Proof. For $r=2, p_{i}=1, a_{i}=d_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-p$, the inequality (7) becomes

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} 1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} d_{i}^{2} \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-p} d_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-p)\left(M_{1}(G)-p\right) \geq(2 m-p)^{2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above and inequality (6) we obtain (13).
In the next theorem we determine a lower bound on $K f(G)$ in terms of number of vertices, edges, pendant vertices, and vertex degrees $\Delta_{2}=d_{2}$ and $\delta_{p}=d_{n-p}$.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ and size $m$ with $p$ pendant vertices. If $p=n-1$, then

$$
K f(G)=(n-1)^{2} .
$$

If $0 \leq p \leq n-2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq(n-1)\left(\frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta(n-1)}+p+\frac{(n-p-1)^{2}}{2 m-\Delta-p}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{2}-\delta_{p}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}\left(\Delta_{2}+\delta_{p}\right)}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
Proof. The inequality (2) can be considered as

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} p_{i} \sum_{i=2}^{n-p} p_{i} a_{i} b_{i}-\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} p_{i} a_{i} \sum_{i=2}^{n-p} p_{i} b_{i} \geq \frac{p_{2} p_{n-p}\left(a_{2}-a_{n-p}\right)\left(b_{2}-b_{n-p}\right)}{p_{2}+p_{n-p}} \sum_{i=2}^{n-p} p_{i},
$$

where $p$ is an integer such that $0 \leq p \leq n-2$.
For $p_{i}=d_{i}, a_{i}=b_{i}=\frac{1}{d_{i}}, i=2,3, \ldots, n-p$, the above inequality transforms into

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} d_{i} \sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}-\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} 1\right)^{2} \geq \frac{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{p}}-\frac{1}{\Delta_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2}+\delta_{p}} \sum_{i=2}^{n-p} d_{i},
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 m-\Delta-p) \sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq(n-p-1)^{2}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{2}-\delta_{p}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}\left(\Delta_{2}+\delta_{p}\right)}(2 m-\Delta-p) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m \geq n-1$ and $0 \leq p \leq n-2$, the following is valid

$$
2 m \geq 2(n-1)=n-1+n-1>\Delta+n-2 \geq \Delta+p
$$

that is

$$
2 m-\Delta-p>0
$$

Now, from the above and inequality (16) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq \frac{(n-p-1)^{2}}{2 m-\Delta-p}+\frac{\left(\Delta_{2}-\delta_{p}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}\left(\Delta_{2}+\delta_{p}\right)} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G$ has $p$ pendant vertices, the inequality (4) can be considered as

$$
\begin{align*}
K f(G) & \geq-1+(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_{i}}=-1+(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{1}{\Delta}+p\right)= \\
& =(n-1)\left(\frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta(n-1)}+p+\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above and inequality (17) we obtain (15).
Equality in (18) holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 1 \leq t \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$. Equality in (17) holds if and only if $\Delta_{2}=d_{2}=\cdots=d_{n-p}=\delta_{p}$, or $\frac{1}{d_{3}}=\cdots=\frac{1}{d_{n-p-1}}=\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{2}}+\frac{1}{\delta_{p}}\right) / 2,0 \leq p \leq n-2$. Since $G$ is connected and $G \nsupseteq K_{1, n-1}, p \neq n-1$, equality in (15) holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.

Corollary 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ and size $m$ with $p$ pendant vertices. If $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$, then

$$
K f(G)=(n-1)^{2} .
$$

If $0 \leq p \leq n-2$, then

$$
K f(G) \geq(n-1)\left(\frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta(n-1)}+p+\frac{(n-p-1)^{2}}{2 m-\Delta-p}\right) .
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.

Remark 2. If the condition for pendant vertices is omitted, then similarly as in Theorem 2 , the following results can be proven.
Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq \frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta}+\frac{(n-1)^{3}}{2 m-\Delta}+\frac{(n-1)\left(\Delta_{2}-\delta\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta\left(\Delta_{2}+\delta\right)} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq \frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta}+\frac{(n-1)^{3}}{2 m-\Delta} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
The inequality (20) was proven in [12].
Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ with $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-2$, pendant vertices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq(n-1)\left(\frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta(n-1)}+p+(n-p-1)\left(\frac{\Delta}{\operatorname{det} D}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-p-1}}+\frac{\left(\sqrt{\Delta_{2}}-\sqrt{\delta_{p}}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds when $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
Proof. The inequality (3) can be considered as

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} a_{i} \geq(n-p-1)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-p} a_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-p-1}}+\left(\sqrt{a_{2}}-\sqrt{a_{n-p}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $p$ is an integer such that $0 \leq p \leq n-2$.
For $a_{i}=\frac{1}{d_{i}}, i=2,3, \ldots, n-p$, the above inequality becomes

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq(n-p-1)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-p-1}}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{p}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{2}}}\right)^{2}
$$

that is

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n-p} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq(n-p-1)\left(\frac{\Delta}{\operatorname{det} D}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-p-1}}+\frac{\left(\sqrt{\Delta_{2}}-\sqrt{\delta_{p}}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}} .
$$

From the above and inequality (18) we obtain (21).
Equality in (22) holds when $\Delta_{2}=d_{2}=\cdots=d_{n-p}=\delta_{p}$, or $d_{3}=\cdots=d_{n-p-1}=$ $\sqrt{\Delta_{2} \delta_{p}}$. Equality in (18) holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{t, n-t}, 1 \leq t \leq$ $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$. This implies that equality in (21) holds if $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.

Corollary 3. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ with $p, 0 \leq p \leq n-2$, pendant vertices. Then

$$
K f(G) \geq(n-1)\left(\frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta(n-1)}+p+(n-p-1)\left(\frac{\Delta}{\operatorname{det} D}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-p-1}}\right) .
$$

Equality holds when $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.

Remark 3. If the condition for pendant vertices is omitted, then similarly as in Theorem 3 , the following results can be proven.
Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq \frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta}+(n-1)^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta}{\operatorname{det} D}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+(n-1) \frac{\left(\sqrt{\Delta_{2}}-\sqrt{\delta}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{2} \delta} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f(G) \geq \frac{n-1-\Delta}{\Delta}+(n-1)^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta}{\operatorname{det} D}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if either $G \cong K_{n}$, or $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$, or $G \in \Gamma_{d}$.
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